TITLE V BLOCK GRANT APPLICATION FORMS (1-21) STATE: TX

APPLICATION YEAR: 2006

- FORM 1 SF424
- FORM 2 MCH BUDGET DETAILS
- FORM 3 STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE
- FORM 4 BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED AND SOURCES OF FEDERAL FUNDS
- FORM 5 STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES
- FORM 6 NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF NEWBORN AND OTHERS SCREENED, CASE CONFIRMED, AND TREATED
- FORM 7 NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (UNDUPLICATED) UNDER TITLE V
- FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX
- FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA
- FORM 10 TITLE V MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT STATE PROFILE FOR FY 2004
- FORM 11 NATIONAL AND STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURES
- FORM 12 NATIONAL AND STATE OUTCOME MEASURES
- FORM 13 CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CHILDREN WITH SPECIAL HEALTH CARE NEEDS
- FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS
- FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE (TA) REQUEST AND TRACKING
- FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE/OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEETS
- FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEM CAPACITY INDICATORS (01 THROUGH 04,07,08) MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 18
 - O MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON
 - O MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
 - O SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
- FORM 19
 - O GENERAL MCH DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09A)
 - O ADOLESCENT TOBACCO USE DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09B)
 - O OVERWEIGHT AND OBESITY DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09C)
- FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS 01-05 MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 21
 - O POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 06)
 - O LIVE BIRTH DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 07)
 - O INFANT AND CHILDREN MORTALITY DATA (HSI 08)
 - O MISCELLANEOUS DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 09)
 - O GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREA DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 10)
 - O POVERTY LEVEL DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 11)
 - O POVERTY LEVEL FOR CHILDREN DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 12)
- New State Performance and Outcome Measures for New Needs Assessment Period

APPLICATION FOR FEDERAL ASSISTANCE	2. DATE SUBMITTED 7/15/2005	APPLICANT IDENTIFIER 433-AP-1				
1. TYPE OF SUBMISSION:	3. DATE RECEIVED BY STATE	STATE APPLICATION IDENTIFIER				
Application Pre-application						
Construction Construction	4. DATE RECEIVED BY FEDERAL AGENCY	FEDERAL IDENTIFIER				
Non-Construction Non-Construction						
5. APPLICANT INFORMATION						
Legal Name: Texas Department of State Health Services	Organizational Unit: Division for Family & Community Health	Services				
Address (give city, county, state and zip code) 1100 West 49th	Name and telephone number of the person application (give area code) Name: Evelyn Delgado	to be contacted on matters involving this				
Austin, TX 78756 County: Travis	Tel Number: 512/458-7321					
6. EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (EIN):	7. TYPE OF APPLICANT: (Enter appropriat	e letter in box) A				
32 0113643	A. State H. Independent School I B. County I. State Controlled Institut C. Municiple J. Private University D. Township K. Indian Tribe E. Interstate L. Individual F. Intermuniciple M. Profit Organization G. Special District N. Other (Specify)	District				
8. TYPE OF APPLICATION:	9 NAME OF FEDERAL AGENCY:	tration, Maternal and Child Health Bureau				
New Continuation Revision	neath Resources and Services Administra	ration, maternal and Child Health Bureau				
If Revision, enter appropriate letter(s) in box(es) A. Increase Award B. Decrease Award C. Increase Duration Decrease Duration Other (specify):						
10. CATALOG OF FEDERAL DOMESTIC ASSISTANCE NUMBER:		11. DESCRIPTIVE TITLE OF APPLICANT'S PROJECT: Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant				
93 994 TITLE: Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant						
12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PROJECT (cities, communities, states, etc.): Statewide - Texas						
13. PROPOSED PROJECT:	14. CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS OF:					
Start Date: Ending Date: 09/30/2006	a. Applicant b. Project Statewick	ct de - Texas				
15. ESTIMATED FUNDING: 16. IS APPLICATION 15. IS AP	ATION SUBJECT TO REVIEW BY STATE EXEC	CUTIVE ORDER 12372 PROCESS?				
a. Federal S7,574,044.00 ORDER 123	PREAPPLICATION/APPLICATION WAS MADE 372 PROCESS FOR REVIEW ON	AVAILABLE TO THE STATE EXECUTIVE				
b. Applicant \$ 10,014,194.00 b. NO						
c. State \$ 53,302,544.00	OGRAM IS NOT COVERED BY E.O. 12372					
d. Local \$ 0.00	PROGRAM HAS NOT BEEN SELECTED BY S	STATE FOR REVIEW				
e. Other \$ 167,344.00						
f. Program \$ 2,000,000.00						
1 ~ TOTAL 0 103 058 126 00	PLICANT DELINQUENT ON ANY FEDERAL DE s", attach an explanation M No	EBT				
18. TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF, ALL DOCUMENT HAS BEEN DULY AUTHORIZED BY THE GO' ATTACHED ASSURANCES IF THE ASSISTANCE IS AWAF	/ERNING BODY OF THE APPLICANT AND TH					
a. Typed Name of Authorized Representative Evelyn Delgado	b. Title Assistant Commissioner	c. Telephone Number 512-458-7321				
d. Signature of Authorized Representative		e. Date Signed				

Previous Editions Not Usable

Standard Form 424 (REV. 4-88) Prescribed by OMB A-102

	FORM 2								
	ET DETAILS FOR								
[Secs. 504 (d) and 505(a)(3)(4)] STATE: TX									
1. FEDERAL ALLOCATION (Item 15a of the Application Face Sheet [SF 424])			\$	37,574,044					
Of the Federal Allocation (1 above), the amount earmarked for: A.Preventive and primary care for children:									
\$ 11,272,213 (30%)									
B.Children with special health care needs:									
\$ 11,272,213 (30 %) (If either A or B is less than 30%, a waiver request must accompan									
C.Title V admininstrative costs:	ny the application)[Sec. 50	(3)[3]							
\$ 3,757,404 (10 %) (The above figure cannot be more than 10%) [Sec. 504(d)]									
2. UNOBLIGATED BALANCE (Item 15b of SF 424)			\$	10,014,194					
3. STATE MCH FUNDS (Item 15c of the SF 424)			\$	53,302,544					
4. LOCAL MCH FUNDS (Item 15d of SF 424)			\$	0					
5. OTHER FUNDS (Item 15e of SF 424)			\$	167,344					
6. PROGRAM INCOME (Item 15f of SF 424)			\$	2,000,000					
7. TOTAL STATE MATCH (Lines 3 through 6) (Below is your State's FY 1989 Maintainence of Effort Amount) \$ 40,208,728	\$	55,469,888							
8. FEDERAL-STATE TITLE V BLOCK GRA (Total lines 1 through 6. Same as line 15g of SF 424)	ANT PARTNERS	HIP (SUBTOTAL)	\$	103,058,126					
9. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (Funds under the control of the person responsible for the administra	ation of the Title V program	n)							
a. SPRANS:	\$	100,000							
b. SSDI:	\$	90,000							
c. CISS:	\$ <u></u>	0							
d. Abstinence Education:	\$	0							
e. Healthy Start:	\$	0							
f. EMSC:	\$	0							
g. WIC:	\$	511,819,557							
h. AIDS:	\$	0							
i. CDC:	\$	8,337,507							
j. Education:	\$	0							
k. Other:									
fam. plan. (Title X	\$	15,544,118							
HRSACoop. Agreement	\$	325,373							
10. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (SUBTOTAL of all Funds	s under item 9)		\$	536,216,555					
11. STATE MCH BUDGET TOTAL (Partnership subtotal + Other Federal MCH Funds subtotal)			\$	639,274,681					

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 2
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

STATE: TX

	FY 2	2004	FY :	2005	FY 2006		
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$40,617,420	\$0	\$ 37,908,796	\$0	\$37,574,044	\$0	
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)	\$4,814,560	\$0	\$	\$0	\$ 10,014,194	\$0	
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$ 43,821,011	\$0	\$52,671,847	\$0	\$ 53,302,544	\$0	
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$567,000	\$0	\$567,000	\$0	\$167,344	\$0	
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$2,240,648	\$0	\$1,996,428	\$0	\$	\$0	
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$ 92,060,639	\$0	\$ 101,133,887	\$0	\$ 103,058,126	\$0	
		(THE FEI	DERAL-STATE TITLE I	BLOCK GRANT PARTN	NERSHIP)		
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$ 489,655,296	\$0	\$ 497,157,021	\$0	\$ 536,216,555	\$0	
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$581,715,935	\$0	\$ 598,290,908	\$0	\$639,274,681	\$0	
			(STATE MCH B	UDGET TOTAL)			

STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

STATE: TX

	FY 2	2001	FY:	2002	FY 2003		
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$ 37,511,570	\$ 33,810,634	\$ 38,839,474	\$ 37,079,915	\$ 39,496,620	\$ 29,802,848	
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)	\$14,341,108	\$14,341,108	\$3,700,936	\$5,102,326	\$0	\$ 1,759,559	
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$59,200,000	\$ 59,200,000	\$ 59,200,000	\$ 55,600,000	\$ 58,600,000	\$ 48,100,000	
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$	\$ 200,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$550,000	\$ 300,000	
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$2,000,000	\$	\$2,600,000	\$2,300,000	\$1,900,000	\$2,400,000	
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$113,252,678	\$ 109,551,742	\$ 104,840,410	\$ 100,582,241	\$ 100,546,620	\$ 82,362,407	
		(THE FEI	DERAL-STATE TITLE I	BLOCK GRANT PARTN	NERSHIP)		
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$391,530,241	\$370,834,407	\$ 413,122,314	\$405,797,587	\$ 409,910,861	\$ 447,585,156	
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$504,782,919	\$ 480,386,149	\$517,962,724	\$ 506,379,828	\$510,457,481	\$ 529,947,563	
			(STATE MCH B	UDGET TOTAL)			

The state fiscal year 2003 (September 1, 2002 - August 31, 2003) just closed and as result, the expenditures changed from what was previously submitted. The listed expenditures for FY03 on the online system were estimates. Revised Form 3 will be submitted through mail with the letter of transmittal and face sheet.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Main

Field Name: FedAllocExpended Row Name: Federal Allocation Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

FY 03 budgeted should read \$38,661,981 but the field is inactive.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: UnobligatedBalanceExpended

Row Name: Unobligated Balance Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

FY 03 budgeted for this field should read \$1,759,559 but the field is inactive.

Section Number: Main Field Name: StateMCHFundsExpended

Row Name: State Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

FY 03 budgeted for this field should read \$51,300,00 but the field is inactive.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: OtherFundsExpended Row Name: Other Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

FY 03 budgeted for this field should read \$300,000 but the field is inactive.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: ProgramIncomeExpended

Row Name: Program Income Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

FY 03 budgeted for this field should read \$2,400,000 but the field is inactive.

BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

STATE: TX

	FY	2004	FY:	2005	FY 2006		
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
a. Pregnant Women	\$ 18,486,501	\$0	\$ 18,544,108	\$0	\$	\$	
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$ 192,078	\$0	\$ 192,676	\$0	\$	\$	
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$ 22,614,810	\$0	\$ 20,554,814	\$0	\$	\$	
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$ 29,768,284	\$0	\$ 40,625,430	\$0	\$	\$	
e. Others	\$10,937,146	\$0	\$10,971,228	\$0	\$	\$	
f. Administration	\$ 10,061,820	\$0	\$ 10,245,631	\$0	\$	\$	
g. SUBTOTAL	\$92,060,639_	\$0	\$101,133,887	\$0	\$0	\$0	
II. Other Federal Funds (under the o	control of the person r	esponsible for admini	stration of the Title V	program).			
a. SPRANS	\$0		\$184,982		\$100,000		
b. SSDI	\$ 99,999]	\$90,000		\$ 90,000		
c. CISS	\$0]	\$0		\$0	1	
d. Abstinence Education	\$ 4,922,091		\$4,880,089		\$0		
e. Healthy Start	\$0]	\$0		\$0	1	
f. EMSC	\$0		\$0		\$0		
g. WIC	\$ 463,378,305		\$ 472,398,982		\$ 511,819,557		
h. AIDS	\$0		\$0		\$0		
i. CDC	\$8,290,995		\$7,824,113		\$ 8,337,507		
j. Education	\$0]	\$0		\$0]	
k.Other		_				_	
fam. plan. (Title X	\$0		\$0		\$ 15,544,118		
HRSACoop. Agreement	\$0		\$0		\$ 325,373		
Fam. Planning (T-X)	\$0		\$11,534,825		\$0		
Respite/NNHSCPC/Frag	\$0]	\$		\$0		
family planning	\$ 12,638,533]	\$0		\$0]	
NHSCPC	\$ 325,373]	\$0		\$0]	
III. SUBTOTAL	\$ 489,655,296	1	\$ 497,157,021		\$ 536,216,555	7	

BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

STATE: TX

	FY	2001	FY	2002	FY 2003		
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
a. Pregnant Women	\$ 19,480,654	\$ 18,844,054	\$ 18,388,027	\$17,708,709	\$17,634,936	\$17,468,759	
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$\$202,407	\$195,793	\$191,055	\$183,996	\$183,230	\$181,503	
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$ 27,751,949	\$ 26,845,055	\$ 25,733,551	\$ 25,509,699	\$ 24,679,621	\$ 20,564,187	
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$ 43,060,581	\$ 41,653,423	\$ 39,882,520	\$36,410,932	\$ 38,249,113	\$26,852,747	
e. Others	\$ 11,525,316	\$ 11,148,685	\$ 10,878,886	\$ 10,476,982	\$ 10,433,336	\$ 10,335,021	
f. Administration	\$ 11,231,771	\$ 10,864,732	\$9,766,371	\$10,291,923	\$9,366,384	\$ 8,949,935	
g. SUBTOTAL	\$ 113,252,678	\$109,551,742	\$ <u>104,840,410</u>	\$ <u>100,582,241</u>	\$100,546,620	\$ 84,352,152	
II. Other Federal Funds (under the	control of the person r	esponsible for admini	stration of the Title V	program).			
a. SPRANS	\$ 387,232		\$		\$		
b. SSDI	\$ 140,038]	\$95,988		\$95,998		
c. CISS	\$0]	\$0		\$0		
d. Abstinence Education	\$ 4,922,091]	\$4,922,091		\$4,922,091		
e. Healthy Start	\$0]	\$0		\$0		
f. EMSC	\$0]	\$0		\$0		
g. WIC	\$ 366,252,815]	\$ 386,423,953		\$ 385,993,364		
h. AIDS	\$0]	\$0		\$0		
i. CDC	\$]	\$7,278,150		\$		
j. Education	\$0]	\$0		\$0		
k.Other		_					
NHSCPC/TBI	\$0		\$ 774,927		\$ 599,823		
Title X Family Planning	\$ 11,715,692]	\$ 13,552,205		\$ 10,303,375		
NHSCPC	\$ 325,373]	\$0		\$0		
III. SUBTOTAL	\$ 391,530,241	1	\$ 413,122,314]	\$ 409,910,861		

The state fiscal year 2003 (September 1, 2002 - August 31, 2003) just closed and as result, the expenditures changed from what was previously submitted. The listed expenditures for FY03 on the online system were estimates. Revised Form 4 will be submitted through mail with the letter of transmittal and face sheet.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: PregWomenBudgeted Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2005 Field Note:

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: PregWomenExpended Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

FY 03 budgeted figure should read 19,554,061however the field is inactive.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children_0_1Budgeted Row Name: Infants <1 year old Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2005 Field Note:

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children_1_22Expended Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years old

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

FY 03 budgeted figure should read 23,019,00 however the field is inactive.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: CSHCNExpended Row Name: CSHCN

Column Name: Expended Year: 2003

Field Note:

FY 03 budgeted figure should read 30,058,246 however the field is inactive.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AdminExpended Row Name: Administration Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003

Field Note:

In the online system Section II was not active for the following FY 03 expenditures. The following is provided and should be included

SPRANS \$37,566 SSDI \$29,899 Abstinence \$4,841,275 WIC \$420,185,387 CDC \$6,721,371 FP-Title X \$15,163,079 Respite/Fragile \$ 606,579

STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

STATE: TX

Type of Sepulor	FY 2	2004	FY :	2005	FY 2006		
TYPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	XPENDED BUDGETED		BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$ 61,783,941	\$ 57,135,798	\$ 67,656,875	\$0	\$ 69,210,055	\$0	
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$6,743,709	\$6,299,739	\$	\$0	\$7,631,035	\$0	
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$13,666,603	\$ 12,490,222	\$15,820,111	\$0	\$ 15,129,726	\$0	
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$9,866,386	\$9,153,038	\$10,637,507	\$0	\$11,087,310	\$0	
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$92,060,639	\$85,078,797	\$101,133,887	\$0	\$103,058,126	\$0	

STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

STATE: TX

Type of Sepulor	FY 2	2001	FY :	2002	FY 2003		
TYPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$ 76,203,038	\$	\$ 70,542,772	\$ 67,569,775	\$ 67,653,658	\$ 56,430,176	
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$8,649,662	\$8,367,003	\$8,007,176	\$	\$	\$5,854,626	
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$ 16,079,412	\$15,553,959	\$14,885,052	\$ 14,682,605	\$ 14,275,428	\$13,194,987	
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$12,320,566	\$11,917,948	\$11,405,410	\$10,841,820	\$10,938,296	\$8,872,363	
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$113,252,678	\$109,551,742	\$104,840,410	\$100,582,241	\$100,546,620	\$84,352,152	

The state fiscal year 2003 (September 1, 2002 - August 31, 2003) just closed and as result, the expenditures changed from what was previously submitted. The listed expenditures for FY03 on the online system were estimates. Revised Form 5 will be submitted through mail with the letter of transmittal and face sheet.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Main

Field Name: DirectHCExpended Row Name: Direct Health Care Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

The correct budgeted figure for this field should be 63,166, 428 however the field is inactive

Section Number: Main Field Name: EnablingBudgeted Row Name: Enabling Services Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2005 Field Note:

This figure was modified by \$1.00 in order to delete a warning note that may have appeared due to a rounding error. The correct amount for this field is \$7,019,395.

Section Number: Main Field Name: EnablingExpended Row Name: Enabling Services Column Name: Expended

> Year: 2003 Field Note:

The correct budgeted figure for this field should be 6,553,511 however the field is inactive

Section Number: Main

Field Name: PopBasedBudgeted Row Name: Population-Based Services

Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2005 Field Note: Pending

Section Number: Main

Field Name: PopBasedExpended Row Name: Population-Based Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

The correct budgeted figure for this field should be 14,770,116 however the field is inactive

Section Number: Main

Field Name: InfrastrBuildBudgeted Row Name: Infrastructure Building Services
Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2005 Field Note: Pending

Section Number: Main

Field Name: InfrastrBuildExpended Row Name: Infrastructure Building Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

The correct budgeted figure for this field should be 9,931,486 however the field is inactive.

FORM 6											
NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF NEWBORNS AND OTHERS SCREENED, CASES CONFIRMED, AND TREATED											
Sect. 506(a)(2)(B)(iii)											
STATE: TX											
Total Births by Occurrence: 383,268 Reporting Year: 2004											
Type of Screening Tests		ast one Screen)	(B) No. of Presumptive Positive	(C) No. Confirmed	(E Needing Tre Received Ti	atment that					
	No.	%	Screens	Cases (2)	No.	%					
Phenylketonuria	368,768	96.2	352	7	7	100					
Congenital Hypothyroidism	368,768	96.2	7,637	194	194	100					
Galactosemia	368,768	96.2	281	3	3	100					
Sickle Cell Disease	368,768	96.2	161	161	161	100					
Other Screening	(Specify)										
Congenital Adrenal Hyperplasia (CAH)	368,768	96.2	2,153	18	18	100					
Screening Progra	ıms for Older Ch	ildren & Wome	n (Specify Tests	by name)							
(1) Use occurrent (2) Report only tho (3) Use number of	se from resident	births.									

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Main
 Field Name: SickleCellDisease_Confirmed
 Row Name: SickleCellDisease

Column Name: Confirmed Cases Year: 2006

Our screen for Sickle Cell is a diagnostic test therefore the number of presumptive positives will equal the number of diagnosed cases.

Number of Individuals Served (Unduplicated) under Title V (BY Class of Individuals and Percent of Health Coverage)

[Sec. 506(a)(2)(A)(i-ii)]

STATE: TX

Reporting Year: 2004

	TITLE V		PRIMARY SOURCES OF COVERAGE							
Types of Individuals Served	(A) Total Served	(B) Title XIX %	(C) Title XXI %	(D) Private/Other %	(E) None %	(F) Unknown %				
Pregnant Women	225,995	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Infants < 1 year old	383,268	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Children 1 to 22 years old	5,432,232	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Children with Special Healthcare Needs	84,741	70.6	4.7	7.8	16.9	0.0				
Others	142,398	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
TOTAL	6,268,634									

Sources

- 1. DSHS Maternal and Child Health Contractors Databases (MCH, SDI& TWICES) SFY 2004
- 2. DSHS, Vital Statistics Unit, Natality Files, Occurrent Births SFY 2004.
- 3. CSHCN Services Program contractor reports, DSHS regional staff case management reports, and CSHCN Management Information System.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

 Section Number: Main Field Name: PregWomen_TS Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Title V Total Served

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Title V is not used to pay for deliveries in Texas. Date for pregnant women and children are estimates. Total includes clients screened by Title V and referred to other funding sources, estimates of the MCH population served by Title V local population based projects designed to eliminate health disparities.

Sources

DSHS Maternal and Child Health Contractors Databases (MCH, TWICES, SDI & Population Based Contractors) SFY 2004.

Section Number: Main
 Field Name: Children_0_1_TS
 Row Name: Infants <1 year of age
 Column Name: Title V Total Served

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Occurrent births for calendar year 2004. The Title V program serves all births, including those of non-residents.

Source: DSHS, VItal Statistics Unit, Natality Files, Occurrent Birth SFY 2004.

3. Section Number: Main

Field Name: Children_1_22_TS
Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years of age
Column Name: Title V Total Served

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Title V is not used to pay for deliveries in Texas. Date for pregnant women and children are estimates. Total includes clients screened by Title V and referred to other funding sources, estimates of the MCH population served by Title V local population based projects designed to eliminate health disparities, and children in daycare and in kindergarten through 12th grade who have had their vision, and hearing screened, and children in 5-12 grade who have received spinal screening (595,932-not added to the total since it may represent duplicate screens).

Source: DSHS Maternal and Child Health Contractors Database; Vision Screening, Hearing Screening & Spinal Screening, SFY 2004.

Section Number: Main Field Name: CSHCN_TS

Row Name: Children with Special Health Care Needs

Column Name: Title V Total Served

Year: 2006 Field Note:

The percentages for the Primary Sources of Coverage indicated on this table are derived from multiple datasets. For datasets where the coverage distribution percentages are known, those percentages are employed. For datasets where the distributions are unknown, the distribution percentages of the datasets with the most similar populations are employed. The actual and derived counts are summed, reduced by 10% to account for possible duplication and percentages computed for each Primary Source of Coverage. All data are as of 8/31/2004.

Sources: CSHCN Services Program contractor reports, DSHS regional staff case management reports, and CSHCN Management Information System.

5. Section Number: Main Field Name: AllOthers_TS Row Name: Others

Column Name: Title V Total Served

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Clients who receive Family Planning and Dysplasia services. Clients screened by Title V and referred to other funding sources.

Source:

DSHS Maternal and Child Health Contractors Database SFY 2004

FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX

XIX
(BY RACE AND ETHNICITY)
[SEC. 506(A)(2)(C-D)]
STATE: TX

Reporting Year: 2004

I. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY RACE

	(A) Total All Races	(B) White	(C) Black or African American	(D) American Indian or Native Alaskan	(E) Asian	(F) Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	(G) More than one race reported	(H) Other and Unknown		
DELIVERIES	DELIVERIES									
Total Deliveries in State	379,671	322,101	42,133	887	12,978	158	0	1,414		
Title V Served	73,875	62,673	8,198	173	2,525	31		275		
Eligible for Title XIX	203,083	172,289	22,537	474	6,942	85		756		
INFANTS										
Total Infants in State	354,039	303,495	38,193	741	10,498	124		988		
Title V Served	380,502	327,884	38,459	775	12,154	163		1,067		
Eligible for Title XIX	281,258	240,641	30,831	587	8,318	98		783		

II. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY ETHNICITY

				HISPANIC OR LATINO (Sub-categories by country or area of origin)				
	(A) Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	(B) Total Hispanic or Latino	(C) Ethnicity Not Reported	(B.1) Mexican	(B.2) Cuban	(B.3) Puerto Rican	(B.4) Central and South American	(B.5) Other and Unknown
DELIVERIES								
Total Deliveries in State	194,241	185,430		165,388	326	1,099	10,295	8,322
Title V Served	37,795	36,080		32,181	63	214	2,003	1,619
Eligible for Title XIX	103,898	99,185		88,465	174	588	5,507	4,451
INFANTS								
Total Infants in State	185,992	168,837		150,589	297	1,000	9,374	7,577
Title V Served	175,424	180,351		160,167	282	1,029	9,938	8,935
Eligible for Title XIX	147,307	133,771		119,313	235	792	7,427	6,004

Sources:

- 1. Live births and Fetal Deaths obtained from DSHS, Center for Health Statistics (CHS), Vital Statistics Unit (VSU), 2003
- 2. Number of Infants obtained from Texas State Data Center (Epigram as of 05/2003 updates).
- 3. Title V Infants served obtained from DSHS Title V MCH Contractors Database

Deliveries eligible for Title XIX obtained from Health & Human Services Commission (HHSC), Vision 21, Run Date March 10, 2005. Infants eligible for Title XIX obtained from CMS, MSIS State Summary, Statistical Report on Medical Care: Eligibles, Recipients & Services (1999-2002)

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: DeliveriesTotal_All Row Name: Total Deliveries in State Column Name: Total All Races

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Title V does not pay for deliveries in Texas.

Deliveries are an aggregate of live births and fetal deaths.

Source: Live Births and Fetal Deaths obtained from DSHS, CHS-VSU, April 2005.

Title V infants served State Fiscal Year 2004 obtained from DSHS Title V MCH Contractors Database.

Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: InfantsTotal_All Row Name: Total Infants in State Column Name: Total All Races

Year: 2006 Field Note:

This is a projection of the number of Infants obtained from Texas State Data Center (Epigram as of 05/2003 updates). The total number of infants served by Title V is the number of occurrent births in Texas in State Fiscal Year 2004. The Title V program serves all births, including those from non residents.

Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: InfantsTitleV_All Row Name: Title V Served Column Name: Total All Races

Year: 2006 Field Note:

The Title V served number is the number of occurrent births for the State Fiscal Year 2004 (September 2003 - August 2004).

Source: Center For Health Statistics, VSU, DSHS. April 2005.

FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(B)] STATE: TX

	FY 2006	FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002
1. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Telephone Number	211	(800) 422-2956	(800) 422-2956	(800) 422-2956	(800) 422-2956
2. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Name	211 Toll-free Hotline Texas	Family Health Services Information & Referral Line			
3. Name of Contact Person for State MCH "Hotline"	Beth Wick	Melissa Short	Melissa Short	Melissa Short	Melissa Short
Contact Person's Telephone Number	512-206-7787	(512) 458-7329	(512) 458-7329	(512) 458-7329	(512) 458-7329
5. Number of calls received on the State MCH "Hotline" this reporting period	0		9,982	7,817	6,425

FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM (OPTIONAL) [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(B)] STATE: TX

	FY 2006	FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002
1. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Telephone Number					
2. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Name					
3. Name of Contact Person for State MCH "Hotline"					
4. Contact Person's Telephone Number					
5. Number of calls received on the State MCH "Hotline" this reporting period	0	0	0	0	0

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 10 TITLE V MATERNAL & CHILD HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT STATE PROFILE FOR FY 2006 [SEC. 506(A)(1)]

STATE: TX

1. State MCH Administration:

The Texas Department of State Health Services (DSHS) is the state agency responsible for administration of the Title V program and is one of four state health and human services agencies under the umbrella of the Health and Human Services Commission. Within DSHS, the Division for Family and Community Health Services is responsible for most women and children programs. The Division administers the Newborn Screening program; the Genetic Services program; the Family Planning program under Titles V, X, XIX and XX; Texas Health Steps Medical, Dental, Medical Case Management (EPSDT), and Texas Health Steps?Comprehensive Care Program (for CSHCN); the Oral Health program; the Pre? and School Vision and Hearing Screening program; The program for the Amplification of Children of Texas; the Title XIX Targeted Case Management for Pregnant Women and Infants program; the Nutrition Services program (WIC); the Breast and Cervical Cancer Control program, Osteoporosis Program, the Primary Health Care program, and the County Indigent Health Care program.

Block Grant Funds		
2. Federal Allocation (Line 1, Form 2)	\$ 37,574,044	
3. Unobligated balance (Line 2, Form 2)	\$ 10,014,194	
4. State Funds (Line 3, Form 2)	\$ 53,302,544	
5. Local MCH Funds (Line 4, Form 2)	\$ 0	
6. Other Funds (Line 5, Form 2)	\$ 167,344	
7. Program Income (Line 6, Form 2)	\$ 2,000,000	
8. Total Federal-State Partnership (Line 8, Form 2)	\$ 103,058,126	
9. Most significant providers receiving MCH funds:		ocal health departments, FQHCs medical schools, school districts
	community-based	organizations, rural health clinic
	case mar	nagement provider organizations
10. Individuals served by the Title V Program (Col. A, Form 7)		
a. Pregnant Women	 225,995	
b. Infants < 1 year old	 383,268	
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	 5,432,232	
d. CSHCN	84,741	
e. Others	 142,398	

- 11. Statewide Initiatives and Partnerships:
- a. Direct Medical Care and Enabling Services:

- For FY 04, Title V program awarded more than 200 service contracts to local health care providers through a competitive request for proposals process. In FY 04, a total of 597,073 individuals received health and health?related services from Title V-funded providers and DSHS health service regional offices. - The Title V allowable array of direct and enabling services for reimbursement can be summarized into the following preventive and primary care categories: - Prenatal care services includes initial, return, and postpartum visits; ultrasound; nutrition education; and case management; - Family planning services provides reproductive care services to support and maintain general wellness and reproductive health of low-income women through provision of contraception, health education, annual gynecology examination, and treatment of sexually transmitted diseases; and - Dysplasia services (initial and return visits, colposcopy, biopsy, and conservative treatments for cervical cancer). - Child/adolescent health care includes primary care services for infants, well-child examinations, sick child and follow-up visits, nutritional visits, immunizations, case management; and prenatal care to adolescents. - Dental services for children and adolescents and include periodic oral evaluation, fluoride treatments, sealants and extraction as needed; and - Laboratory testing services are provided free of charge by DSHS laboratory in Austin and the Women's Health Lab in San Antonio to Title V eligible clients through Title V-funded
- b. Population-Based Services:

(max 2500 characters)

- · Current Title V population-based initiatives are arranged into two major categories: those which are implemented through Title V-funded contractors targeting local areas or a group of individuals, and those that are delivered by DSHS central and regional offices, with a statewide impact. All population-based projects are aligned with the purpose of essential public health services in general and that of the Title V program national and state performance measures in particular. - The first category includes population-based projects awarded to local entities through a competitive request for application process in order to address a range of health disparities for minority groups and groups living in rural areas in Texas. Funds for these projects are used to identify and implement best practice strategies for eliminating racial, ethnic, and geographic disparities in the following areas: motor vehicle deaths among children, child abuse/neglect, SIDS, child obesity, infant mortality, low birth weight births, teen pregnancy, prenatal care, adequacy of prenatal care, and STDs. - The second category includes a variety of population-based projects with a statewide impact, delivered by DSHS Central and regional offices. Some major initiatives currently being undertaken are: vision and hearing screening, spinal screening, newborn screening, Sounds of Texas Program, school health, breastfeeding, and regional perinatal health systems.
- c. Infrastructure Building Services:

- DSHS and the Title V program operate within a structure defined by 11 health service regions for the provision of essential public health services to all Texans. Title V program funds several positions based in regional offices to provide: 1) public health services, including core public health services and direct health care, in areas with no local health department (141 out of 254 counties have no public health presence); and 2) technical assistance, contract management, and quality assurance and quality improvement activities for all Title V-funded providers in their assigned regions. - Receive funding for PRAMS, which provides useful data to gain a better understanding of how specific risk factors impact health outcomes. - Implement the Service Delivery Initiative (SDI), which is an infrastructure-building activity designed to integrate the functions of DSHS' health care delivery programs to include policy development, delivery of health services, and contract administration. Currently, 11 contractors with multiple program

funding sources are currently participating in the SDI pilot using an automated system for screening, enrollment, billing, and reporting. In 2006, more Title V-funded contractors will be phased-in into the SDI environment. 13. The children with special health care needs (CSHCN) contact person: 12. The primary Title V Program contact person: Lesa Walker, M.D., MPH Fouad Berrahou, Ph.D. Name Name State Title V Director State CSHCN Director Title Title 1100 West 49th 1100 West 49th Address Address Austin City Austin City Texas Texas State State 78756 78756 Zip Zip Phone 512-458-7321 512-458-7355 Phone 512-458-7358 Fax 512-458-7238 Fax Fouad.berrahou@dshs.state.tx.us Lesa.walker@dshs.state.tx.us Email Email Web Web

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 11 TRACKING PERFORMANCE MEASURES [SECS 485 (2)(2)(B)(III) AND 486 (A)(2)(A)(III)] STATE: TX

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 01

The percent of newborns who are screened and confirmed with condition(s) mandated by their State-sponsored newborn screening programs (e.g. phenylketonuria and hemoglobinopathies) who receive appropriate follow up as defined by their State.

		Annual (Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	95	95	95	95	95
Annual Indicator	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Numerator	338	343	401	426	383
Denominator	338	343	401	426	383
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		Annual (Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	100	100	100	100	100
Annual Indicator Numerator			above years. Numera	or, Denominator and	Annual Indicators ar
Denominator		uro your data.			

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 02

The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 years whose families partner in decision making at all levels and are satisfied with the services they receive. (CSHCN survey)

		Annual O	bjective and Perfori	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		57	57	57.1	57.2
Annual Indicator		57.0	57.0	57.0	57.0
Numerator		142,384	142,384	142,384	142,384
Denominator		249,840	249,840	249,840	249,840
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final

		Annual Object	ive and Performa	nce Data	
2005	2006	200	7 2	008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	57.3	57.4	57.5	57.6	57.7

Annual Indicator

Numerator Please fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicators are not required for future year data. Denominator

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 03 the percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who	o receive coordinate	ed. ongoing, compreh	ensive care within a n	nedical home. (CSHC	CN Survev)
			Objective and Perform	•	,
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		58.3	58.3	58.4	58.5
Annual Indicator		58.3	58.3	58.3	58.3
Numerator		399,631	399,631	399,631	399,631
Denominator		685,206	685,206	685,206	685,206
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
Annual Performance Objective	58.6	58.7	58.8	58.9	5
Annual Indicator	Please fill in only th	ne Objectives for the a	58.8 above years. Numerat		·
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 he percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who	Please fill in only th not required for futu	ne Objectives for the aure year data.	above years. Numerat	tor, Denominator and	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 he percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who	Please fill in only th not required for futu	ne Objectives for the aure year data.	above years. Numerat	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 ne percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who	Please fill in only th not required for futures ose families have accepted.	ne Objectives for the acure year data. dequate private and/o Annual C 2001	or public insurance to public insurance to public 2002	pay for the services th	Annual Indicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 ne percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who	Please fill in only th not required for future ose families have acc	dequate private and/o Annual C 2001	or public insurance to public insurance to public 2002	pay for the services the	Annual Indicators hey need. (CSHCN
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 he percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who urvey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only th not required for futures ose families have acc	dequate private and/o Annual C 2001 52.9	or public insurance to public insurance to public 2002 52.9	pay for the services the mance Data 2003 52.9	Annual Indicators hey need. (CSHCN
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 he percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who urvey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only th not required for future ose families have ad	dequate private and/o Annual C 2001 52.9	or public insurance to pub	pay for the services the mance Data 2003 52.9	Annual Indicators they need. (CSHC) 2004 52

2006

52.9

2005

Annual Performance Objective

Annual Indicator

Denominator

Annual Objective and Performance Data

52.9

2008

2009

52.9

52.9

2007

Numerator Please fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicators are not required for future year data.

52.9

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 05							
Percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 whose f Survey)	amilies report the c	ommunity	-based serv	rice systems are orga	nized so they can use	e them easi	ily. (CSHC
			Annual (Objective and Perfor			
	2000	2001		2002	2003	2004	
Annual Performance Objective			76.8	76.8	76.9		77
Annual Indicator			76.8	76.8	76.8		76.8
Numerator			193,670	193,670	193,670		193,670
Denominator			252,253	252,253	252,253		252,253
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final	Final	
			Annual (Objective and Perfor	mance Data		
	2005	2006		2007	2008	2009	
Annual Performance Objective	77.1		77.2	77.3	77.4		77.5
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectiv ure year d	ves for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Ind	licators a
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	not required for future future future for future fu	ure year d	ata.	n to all aspects of adu	ult life. (CSHCN Surve	ey)	licators a
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received	not required for future the services necess	sary to ma	ata. ake transitio Annual (n to all aspects of adu	ult life. (CSHCN Surve		licators a
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective	not required for future the services necess	sary to ma	ata. ake transitio Annual (n to all aspects of adu Objective and Perfor 2002	ult life. (CSHCN Surve mance Data 2003	ey)	
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	not required for future the services necess	sary to ma	ata. ake transitio	n to all aspects of adu Objective and Perfor 2002	ult life. (CSHCN Surve	ey)	ficators and ficat
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	not required for future the services necess	sary to ma	ata. ake transition Annual (n to all aspects of adu Objective and Perfor 2002	ult life. (CSHCN Surve mance Data 2003	ey)	
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for future the services necess	sary to ma	ata. ake transition Annual (n to all aspects of adu Objective and Perfor 2002	ult life. (CSHCN Surve mance Data 2003 5.8	ey)	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	not required for future the services necess	sary to ma	ata. ake transition Annual (n to all aspects of adu Objective and Perfor 2002	ult life. (CSHCN Surve mance Data 2003	ey)	
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for future the services necess	sary to ma	ake transition Annual (n to all aspects of adu Objective and Perfor 2002	ult life. (CSHCN Surve mance Data 2003 5.8 Final	ey)	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for future the services necess	sary to ma	ake transition Annual (n to all aspects of adu Objective and Perfor 2002 5.8	ult life. (CSHCN Surve mance Data 2003 5.8 Final	ey)	
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for future the services necess 2000	sary to ma	ake transition Annual (n to all aspects of adu Dispective and Perfor 2002 5.8 Dispective and Perfor	ult life. (CSHCN Surve mance Data 2003 5.8 Final	2004	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	not required for future the services necess 2000	sary to ma	Annual (n to all aspects of adu Dispective and Perfor 2002 5.8 Dispective and Perfor	rmance Data 2003 5.8 Final Final 2008	2004 	5.8

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 07 Percent of 19 to 35 month olds who have receive	ed full schedule of age ar	poropriate immun	izations a	againet Mea	elee Mumns Ruhella	Polio Dinhtheri:	Tetanus F	Portuggig
aemophilus Influenza, and Hepatitis B.	su full scriedule of ago ap	ρριοριιαίο πιπαπ	IIZalions o	igainst wica	sies, muinps, itabelie	i, Fuilo, Dipitirioni	i, Tetanus, i	Citussis,
				Annual C	Objective and Perfor			
Annual Dec		77	2001	78	2002	2003	2004	80
Annuai Pen	formance Objective			73.7	70.9	77	30	72.
	Annual Indicator						_	
	Numerator			362,212	358,701	406,7		380,93
le the Date D	Denominator	483,972		491,468	505,925	526,8		528,58
is the Data F	Provisional or Final?					Final	Provis	ionai
				Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data		
	20	005	2006		2007	2008	2009	
Annual Per	formance Objective	80		80	80		30	8
	Annual Indicator							
	**	ease fill in only th	e Objectiv	ves for the a	bove years. Numera	for. Denominator	and Annual I	ndicators
	Numerator no	ease fill in only the ot required for futu	e Objectiv ure year d	ves for the a ata.	bove years. Numera	tor, Denominator	and Annual I	ndicators
	Numerator no Denominator	ease fill in only th ot required for futu	e Objectiv ure year d	ves for the a ata.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator	and Annual I	ndicators
	Numerator no	ease fill in only th t required for futu	e Objectiv ure year d	ves for the a ata.	bove years. Numera	tor, Denominator	and Annual I	ndicators
	Denominator no	ease fill in only th	e Objectiv ure year d	ves for the a ata.	bove years. Numera	tor, Denominator	and Annual I	ndicators
	Denominator no	ease fill in only th	e Objectiv ure year d	ata.			and Annual I	ndicators
	Denominator Denominator	ease fill in only the trequired for futured for future	e Objectivure year d	ata.	Objective and Perfor		and Annual I	ndicators
rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 1	Denominator Denominator	ot required for futu	ure yéar d	ata.	Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2003		
rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 1	Denominator 15 through 17 years.	ot required for futu	ure yéar d	ata. Annual C	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004	5
rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 1	Denominator 15 through 17 years. 20 formance Objective Annual Indicator	ot required for futu	ure yéar d	Annual C	Objective and Perfor 2002 50	mance Data 2003	2004	5 39.
rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 1	Denominator 15 through 17 years. 20 formance Objective Annual Indicator	000 50 41.6	ure yéar d	Annual C 50 38.9	2002 50 38.9	mance Data 2003	2004 50 .0	5 39. 19,25
e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 1 Annual Peri	Denominator 15 through 17 years. 20 formance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	000 50 41.6 19,631	ure yéar d	Annual C 50 38.9 18,683	2002 50 38.9	mance Data 2003 37 18,2	2004 50 .0	5 39. 19,25 490,21
e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 1 Annual Peri	Denominator 15 through 17 years. 20 formance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	000 50 41.6 19,631	ure yéar d	Annual C 50 38.9 18,683	2002 50 38.9	mance Data 2003 37 18,2 493,9	2004 50 .0 .11	5 39. 19,25 490,21
e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 1 Annual Peri	Denominator 15 through 17 years. 20 formance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	000 50 41.6 19,631	ure yéar d	Annual C 50 38.9 18,683 480,073	2002 50 38.9	mance Data 2003 37 18,2 493,9	2004 50 .0 .11	5 39. 19,25 490,21
e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 1 Annual Peri	Denominator 15 through 17 years. 20 rformance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Provisional or Final?	000 50 41.6 19,631 472,252	ure yéar d	Annual C 50 38.9 18,683 480,073	2002 50 38.9 18,722 481,349 Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2003 37 18,2 493,9 Final mance Data 2008	2004 50 .0 .0 Provis 2009	5 39. 19,25 490,21 ional
e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 1 Annual Peri	Denominator 15 through 17 years. 20 formance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Provisional or Final?	000 50 41.6 19,631 472,252	2001	Annual C 50 38.9 18,683 480,073	2002 50 38.9 18,722 481,349 Dbjective and Perfor	mance Data 2003 37 18,2 493,9 Final mance Data 2008	2004 50 .0 .71 45 Provis	5 39. 19,25 490,21 ional
Is the Data P	Denominator 15 through 17 years. 20 formance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Provisional or Final? 20 formance Objective Annual Indicator	000 50 41.6 19,631 472,252 005 37	2001 2006	Annual C 50 38.9 18,683 480,073 Annual C	2002 50 38.9 18,722 481,349 Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2003 37 18,2 493,9 Final mance Data 2008	2004 50 .0 .0 Provis 2009	39 19,25 490,21 ional
rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 1 Annual Periods Is the Data P	Denominator 15 through 17 years. 20 formance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Provisional or Final? 20 formance Objective Annual Indicator	000 50 41.6 19,631 472,252	2001 2006	Annual C 50 38.9 18,683 480,073 Annual C	2002 50 38.9 18,722 481,349 20bjective and Perfor 2007	mance Data 2003 37 18,2 493,9 Final mance Data 2008	2004 50 .0 .0 Provis 2009	50 39.3 19,258 490,212 ional

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 09					
ercent of third grade children who have received protective sealants	on at least one perr	manent molar tooth.			
			Objective and Perfor		
Amusal Derfermence Objective	2000	2001 19	2002 20	2003 21	2004
Annual Performance Objective					
Annual Indicator		21.7		43.4	54.3
	610			1,550	6,46
	2,828	2,749	7,156	3,572	11,90
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		Annual	Objective and Berfor	····anaa Data	
	2005	2006	Objective and Perfor 2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	35	35	35	35	3
Annual Indicator					
Numeratoi Denominatoi	not required for fut	ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	not required for fut	ure year data.		tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	not required for fut	ure year data. es per 100,000 childr	ren.		Annual Indicators
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	not required for fut	ure year data. es per 100,000 childr			Annual Indicators
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	not required for fut motor vehicle crasho	ure year data. es per 100,000 childr <u>Annual</u>	en. Objective and Perfor	mance Data	2004
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by	motor vehicle crasho	es per 100,000 childr Annual 2001 5.5	ren. Objective and Perfor 2002 5.5	mance Data 2003	2004 5
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	motor vehicle crasho	es per 100,000 childr Annual 2001 5.5	ren. Objective and Perfor 2002 5.5 5.6	mance Data 2003 5.5	2004 5
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	motor vehicle crashe 2000 5.5 5.8 264	es per 100,000 childr Annual 2001 5.5 5.3	ren. Objective and Perfor 2002 5.5 5.6 259	mance Data 2003 5.5 5.4	2004 5. 5.
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	motor vehicle crashe 2000 5.5 5.8 264 4,579,234	es per 100,000 childr Annual 2001 5.5 5.3	ren. Objective and Perfor 2002 5.5 5.6 259	2003 5.5 5.4 259	
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	motor vehicle crashe 2000 5.5 5.8 264 4,579,234	es per 100,000 childr Annual 2001 5.5 5.3	ren. Objective and Perfor 2002 5.5 5.6 259	5.5 5.4 259	2004 5. 5. 26 4,728,00
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	motor vehicle crasho 2000 5.5 5.8 264 4,579,234	es per 100,000 childr	ren. Objective and Perfor 2002 5.5 5.6 259 4,647,317 Objective and Perfor	### Data 2003 5.5 5.4 259 4,752,653 Final ###################################	2004 5. 5. 26 4,728,00 Provisional
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	motor vehicle crashe 2000 5.5 5.8 264 4,579,234	es per 100,000 childr Annual 2001 5.5 5.3 247 4,628,121 Annual 2006	7en. Objective and Perfor 2002 5.5 5.6 259 4,647,317 Objective and Perfor 2007	5.5 5.4 259 4,752,653 Final	2004 5. 5. 26 4,728,00 Provisional
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 ne rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	motor vehicle crashe 2000 5.5 5.8 264 4,579,234 2005 5.5	es per 100,000 childr	7en. Objective and Perfor 2002 5.5 5.6 259 4,647,317 Objective and Perfor 2007	### Data 2003 5.5 5.4 259 4,752,653 Final ###################################	2004 5. 5. 26 4,728,00 Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	motor vehicle crasho 2000 5.5 5.8 264 4,579,234 2005	2001 5.5 5.3 247 4,628,121 Annual 2006 5.5	7en. Objective and Perfor 2002 5.5 5.6 259 4,647,317 Objective and Perfor 2007	2003 5.5 5.4 259 4,752,653 Final 2008 5.5	2004 5 5 26 4,728,00 Provisional

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11					
Percentage of mothers who breastfeed their infants at hospital discha	rge.				
	2000	Annual (2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		80	80	80	8
•	67.8	69.4	67.3	70.0	73.
				263,117	282,08
	249,517				,
Denominator		370,258	371,429	375,898	383,26
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		Annual (Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	75	75	75	75	7
Annual Indicator					
	Disease fill in audio de	ne Objectives for the	above vears. Numera	tor Donominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator			abovo youro. Harriora	ior, Denominator and	Allitual Illulcators
Denominator	not required for futu	ure year data.	abovo youro. Humora	ior, Denominator and	Allitual Illulcators
Denominator	not required for futu	ure year data.	abovo youro. Numera	ior, Denominator and	Annual mulcators
Denominator	not required for futu	ure year data.	abovo youro. Numora	ior, benominator and	Allitual Hulicators
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	not required for futu	ure year data.	asovo youro: Humora	or, peroninator and	Allitual filulcators
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	not required for futue	ure year data. Annual (Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before	not required for futu- hospital discharge.	Annual 6	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective	not required for future hospital discharge.	Annual (2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 92	mance Data 2003 92	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	hospital discharge. 2000 10 12.2	Annual 6 2001 46 30.8	Objective and Perfor 2002 92 84.3	mance Data 2003 92 82.4	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	not required for future hospital discharge.	Annual 6 2001 46 30.8	Objective and Perfor 2002 92	mance Data 2003 92	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	hospital discharge. 2000 10 12.2 45,000	Annual 6 2001 46 30.8 113,972	Objective and Perfor 2002 92 84.3 313,116	mance Data 2003 92 82.4	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	not required for future hospital discharge. 2000 10 12.2 45,000 368,019	Annual 6 2001 46 30.8 113,972	Objective and Perfor 2002 92 84.3 313,116	mance Data 2003 92 82.4 309,701	2004 9 88. 337,99
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for future hospital discharge. 2000 10 12.2 45,000 368,019	Annual (2001 46 30.8 113,972 370,258	Objective and Perfor 2002 92 84.3 313,116 371,429	92 82.4 309,701 375,898 Final	2004 88 337,99 383,26
Performance Measure # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for future hospital discharge. 2000 10 12.2 45,000 368,019	Annual (2001 46 30.8 113,972 370,258	Objective and Perfor 2002 92 84.3 313,116 371,429 Objective and Perfor	82.4 309,701 375,898 Final	2004 88. 337,99 383,26 Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	not required for future hospital discharge. 2000 10 12.2 45,000 368,019	Annual 6 2001 46 30.8 113,972 370,258 Annual 6	0bjective and Perfor 2002 92 84.3 313,116 371,429 Objective and Perfor	92 82.4 309,701 375,898 Final	2004 88 337,99 383,26 Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	not required for future hospital discharge. 2000 10 12.2 45,000 368,019 2005	Annual (2001 46 30.8 113,972 370,258	Objective and Perfor 2002 92 84.3 313,116 371,429 Objective and Perfor	82.4 309,701 375,898 Final	2004 88 337,99 383,26 Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	not required for future hospital discharge. 2000 10 12.2 45,000 368,019	Annual (2001 46 30.8 113,972 370,258 Annual (2006 90	0bjective and Perfor 2002 92 84.3 313,116 371,429 Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2003 92 82.4 309,701 375,898 Final mance Data 2008 90	2004 88 337,99 383,20 Provisional

Annual Performance Objective 23 23 22 20 20 20 20 20	PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 13					
Annual Performance Objective 23 23 22 20 20 21 20 21 20 21 20 21 20 21 20 21 20 21 20 21 20 21 20 21 20 21 20 21 20 21 20 21 20 21 20 21 20 21 20 21 20 20	Percent of children without health insurance.					
Annual Performance Objective 23 23.0 21.3 22.4 20.0 21.4				=		
Annual Indicator 1,353,955 1,271,265 1,341,023 1,207,546 1,316,22					2003	2004
Numerator 1,353,955 1,271,265 1,341,023 1,207,546 1,316,22	Annual Performance Objective	23	23	22	20	2
Denominator 1,886,759 1,968,378 1,986,798 1,986,798 1,986,798 1,986,798 1,986,798 1,986,798 1,986,798 1,986,998 1,	Annual Indicator	23.0	21.3	22.4	20.0	21.
Annual Performance Objective and Performance Objective Annual Indicator 63.4 51.8 55.4 60.0 62 Numerator 674.121 679.567 846.963 1,098,882 1,253.66 Penominator 1,063,394 1,312,697 1,529,942 1,831,982 2,017,885 Is the Data Provisional or Final? Final Final Final	Numerator	1,353,955	1,271,265	1,341,023	1,207,546	1,316,23
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Reformance Measure # 14 Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a service paid by the Medicaid Program. Annual Performance Objective 200 200 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	Denominator	5,886,759	5,968,378	5,986,708	6,037,731	6,086,97
Annual Performance Objective	Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
Annual Performance Objective			Annual (Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Numerator Denominator Numerator Denominator Numerator Denominator Please fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicators not required for future year data. Please fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicators on the required for future year data. Please fill in only the Objective and Performance on the required for future year data. Please fill in only the Objective so the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicators Annual Objective and Performance Data 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 Annual Performance Objective 50 52 52 53 53 55 Annual Indicator 63.4 51.8 55.4 60.0 62 Numerator 674.121 679.567 846,963 1,098,882 1,253,65 Denominator 1,063,394 1,312,697 1,529,942 1,831,982 2,017,85 Is the Data Provisional or Final? Final Final Annual Objective and Performance Data 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Numerator Denominator Please fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicators not required for future year data. Please fill in only the Objective sort the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicators not required for future year data. Please fill in only the Objective sort the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicators not required for future year data. Please fill in only the Objective sort the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicators Program. Annual Objective and Performance Data 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004	Annual Performance Objective	20	20	20	20	:
Annual Performance Objective 50 52 52 53 53 54 60.0 62	Numerator			above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Annual Performance Objective 50 52 52 53 62 63 62 64 60.0 62 62 62 63.4 51.8 55.4 60.0 62 62 62 679,567 846,963 1,098,882 1,253,63 62 62 679,567 846,963 1,098,882 1,253,63 62 62 679,567 846,963 1,098,882 1,253,63 62 62 62 62 62 62 62 62 62 62 62 62 62	Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	not required for fut	ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Annual Indicator 63.4 51.8 55.4 60.0 62 Numerator 674,121 679,567 846,963 1,098,882 1,253,62 Denominator 1,063,394 1,312,697 1,529,942 1,831,982 2,017,88 Is the Data Provisional or Final? Final Final Annual Objective and Performance Data 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009	Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	not required for fut	ure year data. Medicaid Program.			Annual Indicators
Numerator 674,121 679,567 846,963 1,098,882 1,253,623 Denominator 1,063,394 1,312,697 1,529,942 1,831,982 2,017,853 Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Objective and Performance Data 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009	ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 rcent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a	not required for future for futur	ure year data. Medicaid Program. Annual (Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
Denominator 1,063,394 1,312,697 1,529,942 1,831,982 2,017,853 Is the Data Provisional or Final? Final Final Final	ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 rcent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a	service paid by the N	vre year data. Medicaid Program. Annual (2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Objective and Performance Data 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009	ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 recent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a Annual Performance Objective	service paid by the N	vre year data. Medicaid Program. Annual (2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 52	mance Data 2003 53	2004
Annual Objective and Performance Data 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009	ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 Procent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	service paid by the N	Medicaid Program. Annual C 2001 52 51.8	Dbjective and Perfor 2002 52 55.4	mance Data 2003 53 60.0	2004
2005 2006 2007 2008 2009	ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 rcent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	service paid by the N 2000 50 63.4 674,121	wedicaid Program. Annual (2001 52 51.8 679,567	Dbjective and Perfor 2002 52 55.4 846,963	mance Data 2003 53 60.0 1,098,882	2004 62 1,253,63
	ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 From the original of the control of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 50 63.4 674,121 1,063,394	wedicaid Program. Annual (2001 52 51.8 679,567	Dbjective and Perfor 2002 52 55.4 846,963	mance Data 2003 53 60.0 1,098,882 1,831,982	2004 62 1,253,62 2,017,85
Annual Performance Objective 60 60 60 60	ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 50 63.4 674,121 1,063,394	wedicaid Program. Annual (2001 52 51.8 679,567 1,312,697	Dbjective and Perfor 2002 52 55.4 846,963 1,529,942	mance Data 2003 53 60.0 1,098,882 1,831,982 Final	2004 62 1,253,62 2,017,85
	ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 recent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	service paid by the N 2000 50 63.4 674,121 1,063,394	wedicaid Program. Annual C 2001 52 51.8 679,567 1,312,697	2002 52 55.4 846,963 1,529,942 Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2003 53 60.0 1,098,882 1,831,982 Final	2004 62 1,253,62 2,017,88 Final

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 15					
he percent of very low birth weight infants among all live births.					
		Annual (Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	1.2	1.1	1.1	1	
Annual Indicator	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.
Numerator	4,605	4,808	4,976	5,133	5,15
Denominator	363,325	365,092	372,369	377,374	378,53
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		Annual (Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	1	1	1	1	
Annual Indicator					
	Diagon fill in only th	on Objectives for the	Ni		
Numerator	i lease illi ili olliy ti	ie Objectives for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Denominator	not required for fut	ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	not required for fut	ure year data. Annual (Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 he rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu	not required for futu gh 19. 2000	Annual 6	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu	not required for futured for f	Annual 6 2001 10.5	Objective and Perfor 2002 10.5	mance Data 2003	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 The rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000). Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	not required for future gh 19. 2000 11 9.4	Annual 6 2001 10.5 7.6	Objective and Perfor 2002 10.5 9.0	mance Data 2003 10 9.5	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	not required for future gh 19. 2000 11 9.4 154	Annual 6 2001 10.5 7.6 127	Objective and Perfor 2002 10.5 9.0 149	mance Data 2003 10 9.5 162	2004 1 8
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 The rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for future gh 19. 2000 11 9.4	Annual 6 2001 10.5 7.6	Objective and Perfor 2002 10.5 9.0 149	mance Data 2003 10 9.5 162 1,701,620	2004 8 14 1,677,14
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	not required for future gh 19. 2000 11 9.4 154	Annual 6 2001 10.5 7.6 127	Objective and Perfor 2002 10.5 9.0 149	mance Data 2003 10 9.5 162	2004 8
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 The rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for future gh 19. 2000 11 9.4 154	Annual (2001 10.5 7.6 127 1,663,111	Objective and Perfor 2002 10.5 9.0 149	mance Data 2003 10 9.5 162 1,701,620 Final	2004 8 14 1,677,14
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 The rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15	not required for future gh 19. 2000 11 9.4 154 1,636,232	Annual 6 2001 10.5 7.6 127 1,663,111 Annual 6	2002 10.5 9.0 149 1,653,654 Objective and Perfor 2007	mance Data 2003 10 9.5 162 1,701,620 Final mance Data 2008	2004 8 14 1,677,14
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 The rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	not required for future gh 19. 2000 11 9.4 154 1,636,232	Annual (2001 10.5 7.6 127 1,663,111	Objective and Perfor 2002 10.5 9.0 149 1,653,654 Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2003 10 9.5 162 1,701,620 Final	2004 8 14 1,677,14 Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 The rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Indicator Annual Indicator	not required for future gh 19. 2000 11 9.4 154 1,636,232 2005	Annual (2001 10.5 7.6 127 1,663,111 Annual (2006 9	2002 10.5 9.0 149 1,653,654 Objective and Perfor 2007	mance Data 2003 10 9.5 162 1,701,620 Final mance Data 2008 8.2	2004 8 1. 1,677,1. Provisional

ercent of very low birth weight infants delivered at facilities for high-	isk deliveries and r	eunaies.						
	2220	2004	Annual (Objective and Perfo		<u>ita</u>	2004	
Annual Performance Objective	2000 55	2001	55	2002 55	2003	55	2004	5
Annual Performance Objective			52.6				-	52.4
	52.2			53.5		52.9		
Numerator			2,530	2,660		2,674	-	2,69
Denominator			4,808	4,976		5,053	Draviaia	5,13
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Provisio	naı
			Annual (Objective and Perfo	ormance Da	nta		
	2005	2006		2007	2008		2009	
								-
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only to	ha Ohiaati	ves for the a	55 above years. Numer		55 ninator and	Annual Ind	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only to	ha Ohiaati	vaa far tha				Annual Ind	
Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only to not required for fu	he Objecti ture year c	vaa far tha				Annual Inc	5 dicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18	Please fill in only to not required for fu	he Objecti ture year d	ves for the a	above years. Numer	ator, Denon	ninator and		
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be	Please fill in only to not required for further formal ginning in the first to 2000	he Objecti ture year c	ves for the a	Objective and Perfo	ermance Da	ninator and	Annual Inc	dicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only to not required for further forms of the first that the first	he Objecti ture year o rimester.	ves for the a lata. Annual C	Objective and Perfo	ormance Da	ninator and		dicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only to not required for further forms of the first to	he Objecti ture year c rimester.	Annual C 85	Objective and Performance 2002 85	ormance Da	ninator and ata 85 79.7		dicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 recent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only to not required for further forms of the first to	he Objecti ture year c rimester.	Annual (85 77.7 283,822	Objective and Perfo 2002 85 79.3 295,282	ormance Da	85 79.7 300,927		8 81. 308,13
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 recent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only to not required for further forms of the first to	he Objecti ture year c rimester.	Annual (85 77.7 283,822	Objective and Performance 2002 85	ormance Da	ninator and ata 85 79.7		8 81. 308,13 379,07
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Tocent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only to not required for further forms of the first to	he Objecti ture year c rimester.	Annual (85 77.7 283,822	Objective and Perfo 2002 85 79.3 295,282	ormance Da	85 79.7 300,927	2004	81. 308,13
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only to not required for further forms of the first to	he Objecti ture year c rimester.	Annual (85 77.7 283,822 365,092	Objective and Perfo 2002 85 79.3 295,282	prmance Da 2003 Final	85 79.7 300,927 377,374	2004	81 308,13 379,07
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 rcent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only to not required for further forms of the first to	he Objecti ture year c rimester.	Annual (85 77.7 283,822 365,092	2002 85 79.3 295,282 372,369	prmance Da 2003 Final prmance Da 2008	85 79.7 300,927 377,374	2004	8 81. 308,13 379,07

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 1					
Change in institutionalized CSHCN, as percent of previous year.					
	2000		Objective and Perfor		2204
America Books and a Chinating	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		95	90	90	9
	99.4	96.2	101.8	92.9	98.3
Numerator	1,253	1,206	1,228	1,141	1,59
Denominator	1,260	1,253	1,206	1,228	1,61
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		Annual (Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	100	95	90	85	8
Numerator	Please fill in only if	ne Objectives for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2		ure year data.			
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2			Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2			Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2	y behaviors	Annual C	-		
FATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 Percent of children and adolescents (aged 13-19) who choose health	y behaviors 2000 69	Annual C 2001	2002	2003	7
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 ercent of children and adolescents (aged 13-19) who choose health Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	y behaviors 2000 69	Annual C 2001 70 62.5	2002 71	2003 72	58
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 ercent of children and adolescents (aged 13-19) who choose health Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	y behaviors 2000 69 69.1	Annual C 2001 70 62.5 1,451,817	71 60.1 1,390,485	2003 72 55.1	58. 1,392,66
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 ercent of children and adolescents (aged 13-19) who choose health Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	69 69.1 1,577,994 2,283,133	Annual C 2001 70 62.5 1,451,817	71 60.1 1,390,485	2003 72 55.1 1,287,541	2004 7 58. 1,392,66 2,369,54 Provisional
CANNUAL PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 Percent of children and adolescents (aged 13-19) who choose health Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	69 69.1 1,577,994 2,283,133	Annual C 2001 70 62.5 1,451,817 2,321,233	71 60.1 1,390,485 2,313,512	2003 72 55.1 1,287,541 2,336,598 Final	7 58. 1,392,66 2,369,54
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 ercent of children and adolescents (aged 13-19) who choose health Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	69 69.1 1,577,994 2,283,133	Annual C 2001 70 62.5 1,451,817 2,321,233	71 60.1 1,390,485	2003 72 55.1 1,287,541 2,336,598 Final	7 58 1,392,66 2,369,54
ETATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 ercent of children and adolescents (aged 13-19) who choose health Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	y behaviors 2000 69 69.1 1,577,994 2,283,133	Annual C 2001 70 62.5 1,451,817 2,321,233	71 60.1 1,390,485 2,313,512	2003 72 55.1 1,287,541 2,336,598 Final	7 58. 1,392,66 2,369,54 Provisional
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 Percent of children and adolescents (aged 13-19) who choose health Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	y behaviors 2000 69 69.1 1,577,994 2,283,133 2005	Annual C 2001 70 62.5 1,451,817 2,321,233 Annual C 2006 74	2002 71 60.1 1,390,485 2,313,512 Objective and Perfore 2007	72 55.1 1,287,541 2,336,598 Final mance Data 2008 74	1,392,60 2,369,50 Provisional

ercent of infants and children (aged 0-12) who thrive					
		Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	72	74	76	78	8
Annual Indicator	64.6	57.6	53.0	57.7	56.
Numerator	2,754,540	2,488,296	2,299,469	2,517,613	2,492,89
Denominator				4,366,010	4,390,36
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		Δnnual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	80	80	80	80	8
•	_				
Annual Indicator					
	Diamentillin and other	as Objectives for the	above veere Numero	tor Donominator and	Annual Indicators
	Diamentillin and other	ne Objectives for the	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fution	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
	Please fill in only the not required for fution	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fution	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fution	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4	Please fill in only the not required for fution	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4	Please fill in only the not required for fution	ure year data.	above years. Numera		Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4	Please fill in only the not required for fution	ure year data.			Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4	Please fill in only the not required for future for future.	ure year data. Annual	Objective and Perfor	rmance Data	2004
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 io of Black low birth weight (LBW) rate to White LBW rate Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for future for future.	ure year data. Annual 2001 1.6	Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Data 2003	2004
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 tio of Black low birth weight (LBW) rate to White LBW rate Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	ure year data. Annual 2001 1.6	Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Data 2003	2004
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 tio of Black low birth weight (LBW) rate to White LBW rate Annual Performance Objective	2000 2.0 12.7	Annual 2001 1.6 1.9	Objective and Perfor 2002 1.6 1.8	2003 1.6	2004 1 1 12
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 tio of Black low birth weight (LBW) rate to White LBW rate Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 2.0 12.7 6.5	Annual 2001 1.6 1.9	Objective and Perfor 2002 1.6 1.8 12.7	2003 1.6 1.9 13.6	2004 1. 1. 12.
Numerator Denominator TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 Itio of Black low birth weight (LBW) rate to White LBW rate Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 2.0 12.7 6.5	Annual 2001 1.6 1.9 12.9	Objective and Perfor 2002 1.6 1.8 12.7	1.6 1.9 13.6 7.3	2004 1. 1. 12.
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 tio of Black low birth weight (LBW) rate to White LBW rate Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 2.0 12.7 6.5	Annual 2001 1.6 1.9 12.9	Objective and Perfor 2002 1.6 1.8 12.7	1.6 1.9 13.6 7.3	2004 1. 1. 12. 7. Provisional
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Annual Indicator Denominator	2000 2.0 12.7 6.5	Annual 2001 1.6 1.9 6.9 Annual 2006	Objective and Perfor 2002 1.6 1.8 12.7 7 Objective and Perfor 2007	1.6 2003 1.6 1.9 13.6 7.3 Final	2004 1. 1. 12.
Numerator Denominator TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 atio of Black low birth weight (LBW) rate to White LBW rate Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 2.0 12.7 6.5 2005	Annual 2001 1.6 1.9 6.9 Annual 2006	Objective and Perfor 2002 1.6 1.8 12.7 7 Objective and Perfor 2007	1.6 1.9 13.6 7.3 Final	2004 1 1 12 7 Provisional
Annual Performance Objective Annual Performance Objective Annual Performance Objective Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 2.0 12.7 6.5 2005 1.6	Annual 2001 1.6 1.9 6.9 Annual 2006 1.6	Objective and Perfor 2002 1.6 1.8 12.7 7 Objective and Perfor 2007	Tmance Data 2003 1.6 1.9 13.6 7.3 Final Tmance Data 2008 1.6	2004 1 12 7 Provisional 2009
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 io of Black low birth weight (LBW) rate to White LBW rate Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 2.0 12.7 6.5 2005 Please fill in only the not required for futth not required for	Annual 2001 1.6 1.9 6.9 Annual 2006 1.6	Objective and Perfor 2002 1.6 1.8 12.7 7 Objective and Perfor 2007	Tmance Data 2003 1.6 1.9 13.6 7.3 Final Tmance Data 2008 1.6	2004 1 Provisional 2009

ne Prevalence of Childhood Obesity			t at the state and Bankan	B	
	2000	<u>Annua</u> 2001	I Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Data 2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	20	19	17	16	1
Annual Indicato	21.9	23.2	24.1	10.8	9.
Numerato	86,533	92,979	100,071	47,880	46,01
Denominato	396,021	400,238	416,010	445,147	468,96
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		<u>Annua</u>	I Objective and Perfor	rmance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
	2005				
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	15 tor, Denominator and	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6	Please fill in only the not required for fut	Ohio ti to th-			
Annual Indicato Numerato	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ne Objectives for the ure year data. Annua	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 idence of carious lesions among 3rd to 7th grade children.	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ne Objectives for the ure year data. Annua 2001	e above years. Numera I Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Data	Annual Indicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 dence of carious lesions among 3rd to 7th grade children. Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for fut	Annua 2001	l Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Data 2003	Annual Indicators 2004
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 dence of carious lesions among 3rd to 7th grade children. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut. 2000 43 49.9	Annua 2001 43	I Objective and Perfor 2002 43 43.2	rmance Data 2003 43 31.3	Annual Indicators 2004 4 66.
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 idence of carious lesions among 3rd to 7th grade children. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut. 2000 43 49.9 3,289	Annua 2001 43 49.9	Objective and Perfor 2002 43 43.2 8,092	rmance Data 2003 43 31.3 5,598	2004 4 66. 7,89
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 dence of carious lesions among 3rd to 7th grade children. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut. 2000 43 49.9 3,289 6,596	Annua 2001 43	Objective and Perfor 2002 43 43.2 8,092	rmance Data 2003 43 31.3	2004 4 66. 7,89
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 idence of carious lesions among 3rd to 7th grade children. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut. 2000 43 49.9 3,289 6,596	Annua 2001 43 49.9 5,936	Objective and Perfor 2002 43 43.2 8,092	tor, Denominator and rmance Data 2003 43 31.3 5,598 17,874 Final	2004 4: 66.: 7,89. 11,90.

			Annua	I Objective and Perfo	rmance Data	
	2000		2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Object	ive	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.
Annual Indica	itor	3.8	3.7	3.8	3.8	3.
Numera	itor 1	12,425	12,110	12,082	12,683	11,18
Denomina	itor 32	28,327	323,789	316,875	331,439	303,81
Is the Data Provisional or Fin	al?				Final	Provisional
			<u>Annua</u>	I Objective and Perfo	rmance Data	
	2005		2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Object	ive	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.

Texas Health and Human Services Commission Office of Program Coordination for Children and Youths.

DSHS, Bureau of Vital Statistics (1996-2003)

DSHS, Bureau of HIV and STD Prevention (2001-2003).

Texas Education Agency (2001-2003). Texas CMS-416 (2002-2003).

U.S. CDC, Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System (2003). Texas State Data Center, UTSA, EPIGRAM (as of 09/2004 updates).

Texas Department of Protective and Regulatory Services (2002-2003). DSHS, Bureau of Laboratories (2001-2003). DSHS, Injury Epidemiology Surveillance Program, EMS/Trauma Registry (2002-2003).

DSHS, Bureau of Nutrition Services, 2000-2005 Annual Nutritional Risk Reports.

DSHS, Oral Health Program Annual Reports FY2003-2004.

TMHP Vision 21, Family Planning Data, Title X FPAR, Title V MCH Services Summary Reports.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Performance Measure #1

Field Name: PM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Unduplicated screening data are not available for 2002 for all conditions mandated by the State-sponsored Newborn Screening Program. Therefore, this measure cannot be completed as defined for this submission.

Section Number: Performance Measure #1

Field Name: PM01 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Demoninator is occurrent births for State Fiscal Year 2003: September 2002 - August 2003.

Section Number: Performance Measure #1

Field Name: PM01 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Demoninator is occurrent births for State Fiscal Year 2004: September 2003 - August 2004.

Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS. A conservative 1% increase forms the basis of established targets from 2003 to 2007.

Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS. A conservative 1% increase forms the basis of established targets from 2003 to 2007.

Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

10. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002

Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS. This measure was held constant from 2002 to 2007.

11. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

12. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

13. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS. A conservative 1% increase forms the basis of established targets from 2003 to 2007.

14. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

15. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

16. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Because only one of the States (Maine) met the NCHS standards for reliability for PM 6, the 2002 indicator is the national average except for Maine which has its State

value noted.

17. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

Because only one of the States (Maine) met the NCHS standards for reliability for PM 6, the 2002 & 2003 indicator is the national average except for Maine which has its

State value noted.

18. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

Since Texas does not have state-specific data or projections at this time, the 2001 and 2002 national average data should be inserted, if needed, for the 2003-2009

projections."

19. Section Number: Performance Measure #9

Field Name: PM09 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note: Source:

TDH, Statewide Dental Survey, 1997-2002

TDH, Oral Health Program Annual Report FY 2003.

The data used for this measure represent sealants present on any molar versus sealants placed on at least one permanent molar teeth.

20. Section Number: Performance Measure #9

Field Name: PM09 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Despite the FY04 annual indicator, the program has opted to set the target of 35% to reflect the limitation of this measure. The population involved is limited to the reduced/free lunch program.

21. Section Number: Performance Measure #10

Field Name: PM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note: Source:

1999-2002 Deaths: TDH, BVS

22. Section Number: Performance Measure #14

Field Name: PM14 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note: Sources:

CMS-416, 1997-2003

Census 2000

Population estimates from Texas A&M University, BVS as of 05/2003.

Note: Expected eligibles from CMS-416 will serve as a better estimate of the potential THSteps particiapnts than Census estimates for most of the decade between Census 2000 and 2010

23. Section Number: Performance Measure #14

Field Name: PM14 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Source:

CMS-416 FFY 1997-2004

24. Section Number: Performance Measure #16

Field Name: PM16 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

1991-1998 Deaths from TDH, Bureau of Vital Statistics, Texas A&M University, Texas State Data Center, Mortality Files, May 2003 (ICD-9 Codes 950-959)

1999-2001 Deaths from TDH, Bureau of Vital Statistics, Mortality Files (ICD-10 Codes from List of 113 Selected Causes of Death, Numbers 105-106)

Population from TDH, Bureau of Vital Statistics, Texas A & M University, Texas State Data Center, Population Estimates, May 2003

25. Section Number: State Performance Measure #1

Field Name: SM1 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note: Source:

http://www.hhsc.state.tx.us/pubs/20021231_SB368_PPR.html

26. Section Number: State Performance Measure #1

Field Name: SM1 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Beginning in FY2004 the indicator for this performance measure is changed from a comparison to the previous year to a comparison to the base year, FY2003. Additional congregate care settings for children are included, raising the number of children living in congregate care settings in the base year, 2003, from 1141 to 1617.

Source:

Texas Health and Human Services Commission Office of Program Coordination for Children and Youth.

27. Section Number: State Performance Measure #2

Field Name: SM2 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note: Sources:

http://www.tcada.state.tx.us/research/survey/grades7-12/SchoolSurvey2002.pdf (p24)

http://www.tea.state.tx.us/research/pdfs/0102drpt.pdf (p47)

CMS-416 FY 2003 TDH, HIV/STD TDH, BVS

28. Section Number: State Performance Measure #4

Field Name: SM4 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

TDH, Bureau of Vital Statistics, Texas Vital Statistics Annual Report, 1991-2002.

29. Section Number: State Performance Measure #5

Field Name: SM5 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note: Sources:

TDH, Bureau of Nutrition Services, 1998 & 2000-2004 Annual Nutrition Risk Reports

Tdh, Bureau of Nutrition Services, Texas WIN 1999, Certification and Nutritional Risk Tables

30. Section Number: State Performance Measure #6

Field Name: SM6 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note: SOurces:

TDH, Texas Statewide Dental Survey, 1997-2002 TDH Oral Health Program Annual Report FY 2003

31. Section Number: State Performance Measure #7 Field Name: SM7 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note: Sources

DPS Uniform Crime Reporting: Family Violence Incidents http://www.txdps.state.tx.us/crimereports/02/ch5.pdf SP213S Report for TXX; FPAR Report for Title X; Title V MCH Services Data Summary, 1996-2001

FPAR Report 2003 for Title V, Title X & Title XX (K:/Data/Family Planning/Data Requests/Open Records Request/02.2004

FORM 12 TRACKING HEALTH OUTCOME MEASURES [SECS 505 (A)(2)(B)(m) AND 506 (A)(2)(A)(m)] STATE: TX

	_					
OUTCOME MEASURE # 01						
The infant mortality rate per 1,000 live births.						
		1	Annual Object	tive and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	200)2	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	5.5		5.5	5.5	5.5	5
Annual Indicator	5.7		6.0	6.4	6.6	5
Numerator	2,064		2,181	2,369	2,483	2,19
	363,325		65,092	372,369	377,374	379,07
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final	Provisional
		į	Annual Object	tive and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	200		2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	5.5		5.5	5.5	5.5	5
Annual Indicator						
Denominator	not required for fut	ure year data	for the above	years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
UTCOME MEASURE # 02	not required for fut	ure year data				Annual Indicators
UTCOME MEASURE # 02 ne ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality r	not required for fut	ure year data		tive and Perfor		2004
UTCOME MEASURE # 02 ne ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality r	not required for fut rate.	ure year data	Annual Object	tive and Perfor	mance Data	2004
UTCOME MEASURE # 02 De ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant m	rate.	ure yéar data	Annual Objec 200 1.7	tive and Perfor	mance Data 2003	2004
e ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality r Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 1.7	ure year data	Annual Objec 200 1.7	tive and Perfor 02 1.7	mance Data 2003 1.7	2004
UTCOME MEASURE # 02 The ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant	not required for fut rate. 2000 1.7 2.4 11.4	ure year data	Annual Object 200 1.7 2.3 12	tive and Perfor 02 1.7 2.4 13.5	mance Data 2003 1.7 2.4 13.8	2004 1 2
UTCOME MEASURE # 02 The ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant	not required for fut rate. 2000 1.7 2.4 11.4	ure year data	Annual Object 200 1.7 2.3 12	tive and Perfor 12 1.7 2.4	mance Data 2003 1.7 2.4 13.8 5.8	2004 1 2 11 4
UTCOME MEASURE # 02 e ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality r Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	not required for fut rate. 2000 1.7 2.4 11.4	ure year data	Annual Object 200 1.7 2.3 12	tive and Perfor 02 1.7 2.4 13.5	mance Data 2003 1.7 2.4 13.8	2004 1 2
UTCOME MEASURE # 02 ne ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality r Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for fut rate. 2000 1.7 2.4 11.4	2001	1.7 2.3 12 5.2	tive and Perfor 02 1.7 2.4 13.5 5.7	mance Data 2003 1.7 2.4 13.8 5.8 Final	2004 1 2 11.
Denominator PUTCOME MEASURE # 02 The ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality rate to the	not required for fut rate. 2000 1.7 2.4 11.4	2001	1.7 2.3 12 5.2	tive and Perfor 02 1.7 2.4 13.5 5.7	mance Data 2003 1.7 2.4 13.8 5.8 Final	2004 1 2 11.
PUTCOME MEASURE # 02 the ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality r Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	not required for fut ate. 2000 1.7 2.4 11.4 4.8	2001	Annual Object 200 1.7 2.3 12 5.2 Annual Object 200	tive and Perfor 02 1.7 2.4 13.5 5.7	mance Data 2003 1.7 2.4 13.8 5.8 Final mance Data 2008	2004 1. 2 11. 4. Provisional
DUTCOME MEASURE # 02 The ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant	not required for fut ate. 2000 1.7 2.4 11.4 4.8	2001	Annual Object 200 1.7 2.3 12 5.2 Annual Object 200	tive and Perfor 02 1.7 2.4 13.5 5.7	mance Data 2003 1.7 2.4 13.8 5.8 Final mance Data 2008	2004 1. 2 11. 4. Provisional
DUTCOME MEASURE # 02 The ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality rate ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality rate ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality rate ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality rate to	not required for fut ate. 2000 1.7 2.4 11.4 4.8 2005 1.7	2001 2006	1.7 2.3 12 5.2 Annual Object 200	tive and Perfor 22 1.7 2.4 13.5 5.7 tive and Perfor	mance Data 2003 1.7 2.4 13.8 5.8 Final mance Data 2008	2004 1. 2 11. 4. Provisional 2009

OUTCOME MEASURE # 03					
The neonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.					
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
Annual Indicator	3.4	3.7	3.9	4.4	3.
Numerator	1,226	1,356	1,452	1,649	1,40
Denominator	363,325	365,092	372,369	377,374	379,07
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		Annual (Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.
Annual Indicator	Diamentill in and other	on Objectives for the	above veere Numero	D	
Denominator	not required for futi	ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
OUTCOME MEASURE # 04	not required for fut	ure year data.	above years. Numeral	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
OUTCOME MEASURE # 04	not required for futi	ure year data.	Objective and Perfor		Annual Indicators
PUTCOME MEASURE # 04 he postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.	not required for futu	ure year data.			2004
UTCOME MEASURE # 04	not required for futu	ure year data. Annual (Objective and Perfor	mance Data	2004
TUTCOME MEASURE # 04 ne postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.	2000 2.5	ure year data. Annual (Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 the postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective	2000 2.5	Annual 6 2001 2.5	Objective and Perfor 2002 2.5	mance Data 2003 2.4	2004
DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 he postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 2.5 2.3 838	Annual 6 2001 2.5 2.3 825	Objective and Perfor 2002 2.5 2.5	2003 2.4 2.2	2004 2. 2. 79
DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 2.5 2.3 838 363,325	Annual 6 2001 2.5 2.3 825	Objective and Perfor 2002 2.5 2.5 917	2003 2.4 2.2 834	
DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 2.5 2.3 838 363,325	Annual (2001 2.5 2.3 825 365,092	2.5 2.5 917 372,369	2.4 2003 2.4 2.2 834 377,374 Final	2004 2. 2. 79 379,07
PUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 2.5 2.3 838 363,325	Annual (2001 2.5 2.3 825 365,092	Objective and Perfor 2002 2.5 2.5 917	2.4 2003 2.4 2.2 834 377,374 Final	2004 2. 2. 79 379,07
DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 the postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 2.5 2.3 838 363,325	Annual (2001 2.5 2.3 825 365,092	2.5 2.5 917 372,369 Objective and Perfor	2003 2.4 2.2 834 377,374 Final	2004 2. 2. 79 379,07 Provisional
DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 2.5 2.3 838 363,325 2005	Annual (2001 2.5 2.3 825 365,092 Annual (2006 2.4	2002 2.5 2.5 917 372,369 Objective and Perfor	2.4 2.2 834 377,374 Final mance Data 2008 2.4	2004 2. 79 379,07 Provisional 2009

Denominator

OUTCOME MEASURE # 05					
The perinatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births plus fetal deaths.					
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		9	9	9	8.
Annual Indicator	8.9	9.7	9.5	10.0	9.
Numerator	3,263	3,548	3,560	3,777	3,50
Denominator	365,362	367,284	374,477	379,502	380,94
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
				- .	
	2005	Annual (2006	Objective and Perfor 2007	mance Data 2008	2009
Annual Parformance Objective		8.9	8.9	8.9	2009
Annual Performance Objective		0.0	0.0	0.0	
Annual Indicator					
	Diaman Cili in andre the	ne Objectives for the	above vears. Numera	tor. Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future	ne Objectives for the aure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future	ne Objectives for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06	Please fill in only th not required for futu	ure year data. Annual (Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.	Please fill in only th not required for futu 2000	ure year data. Annual (Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Annual (2001 23.1	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003 23.1	2004 23.
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Annual (2001 23.1 24.6	Objective and Perfor 2002 23.1 23.5	2003 23.1 24.6	2004 23.
Numerator Denominator OUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 24 24.2 1,106	Annual (2001 23.1 24.6 1,140	Objective and Perfor 2002 23.1 23.5 1,094	2003 23.1 24.6 1,155	2004 23. 22. 1,07
Numerator Denominator OUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 24 24.2 1,106 4,579,234	Annual (2001 23.1 24.6 1,140	Objective and Perfor 2002 23.1 23.5 1,094	2003 23.1 24.6 1,155 4,688,377	2004 23. 22. 1,07 4,728,00
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 24 24.2 1,106 4,579,234	Annual (2001 23.1 24.6 1,140	Objective and Perfor 2002 23.1 23.5 1,094	2003 23.1 24.6 1,155	2004 23. 22. 1,07
Numerator Denominator OUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 24 24.2 1,106 4,579,234	Annual (2001 23.1 24.6 1,140 4,628,121	Objective and Perfor 2002 23.1 23.5 1,094	23.1 24.6 1,155 4,688,377	2004 23. 22. 1,07 4,728,00
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 24 24.2 1,106 4,579,234	Annual (2001 23.1 24.6 1,140 4,628,121	Objective and Perfor 2002 23.1 23.5 1,094 4,647,317	23.1 24.6 1,155 4,688,377	2004 23. 22. 1,07 4,728,00
Numerator Denominator OUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 24 24.2 1,106 4,579,234	Annual (2001 23.1 24.6 1,140 4,628,121	Objective and Perfor 2002 23.1 23.5 1,094 4,647,317 Objective and Perfor 2007	23.1 24.6 1,155 4,688,377 Final	2004 23. 22. 1,07 4,728,00 Provisional
Numerator Denominator OUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 24 24.2 1,106 4,579,234 2005 23.1	Annual (2001 23.1 24.6 1,140 4,628,121 Annual (2006 23.1	Objective and Perfor 2002 23.1 23.5 1,094 4,647,317 Objective and Perfor 2007 23.1	23.1 24.6 1,155 4,688,377 Final 2008 23.1	2004 23 22 1,07 4,728,00 Provisional 2009
Numerator Denominator OUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 24 24.2 1,106 4,579,234 2005	Annual (2001 23.1 24.6 1,140 4,628,121 Annual (2006 23.1	Objective and Perfor 2002 23.1 23.5 1,094 4,647,317 Objective and Perfor 2007	23.1 24.6 1,155 4,688,377 Final 2008 23.1	2004 23 1,0 4,728,0 Provisional 2009

Denominator

		Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	1	1	1	1	
Annual Indicator	0.5	0.5	2.0	2.2	2
Numerator	. 8	9	18	20	1
Denominator	16	17	9	9	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	. 1	1	1	1	

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CSHCN PROGRAMS STATE: TX 1. Family members participate on advisory committee or task forces and are offering training, mentoring, and reimbursement, when appropriate. 2. Financial support (financial grants, technical assistance, travel, and child care) is offered for parent activities or parent groups. 1. Family members are involved in the Children with Special Health Care Needs elements of the MCH Block Grant Application process. 2. Family members are involved in service training of CSHCN staff and providers. 1. Family members are involved in service training of CSHCN program (a family member is hired for his or her expertise as a family member). 0. Family members of diverse cultures are involved in all of the above activities. 1. Total Score: 7. Rating Key 0 = Not Met 1 = Partsily Met 2 = Mostly Met 3 = Completely Met

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS

[Sec. 505(a)(5)]

STATE: TX FY: 2006

Your State's 5-year Needs Assessment should identify the need for preventive and primary care services for pregnant women, mothers, and infants; preventive and primary care services for children and services for Children with Special Health Care Needs. With each year's Block Grant application, provide a list (whether or not the priority needs change) of the top maternal and child health needs in your state. Using simple sentence or phrase ,list below your State's needs. Examples of such statements are: "To reduce the barriers to the delivery of care for pregnant women, " and "The infant mortality rate for minorities should be reduced."

MCHB will capture annually every State's top 7 to 10 priority needs in an information system for comparison, tracking, and reporting purposes; you must list at least 7 and no more than 10. Note that the numbers listed below are for computer tracking only and are not meant to indicate priority order. If your State wishes to report more than 10 priority needs, list additional priority needs in a note at the form level.

- 1. Decrease adult obesity.
- 2. Improve and expand healthcare infrastructure.
- 3. Decrease the number of women of childbearing age who smoke.
- 4. Decrease the number of obesity among children and adolescents.
- 5. Increase access to dental care.
- 6. Reduce domestic violence.
- 7. Increase partnerships with families of CSHCN in decision-making at all levels and family satisfaction with the services they receive.
- 8. Increase the number of CSHCN who receive coordinated, ongoing, comprehensive care within a medical home.
- 9. Improve the organization and coordination of community-based service systems for CSHCN and their families so that an increased number of families of CSHCN report that these systems are easy to use.
- 10. Increase the number of youth with special health care needs who receive the services necessary to make transition to all aspects of adult life.

The state needs are not prioritized.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE(TA) REQUEST

STATE: TX APPLICATION YEAR: 2006

No.	Category of Technical Assistance Requested	Description of Technical Assistance Requested (max 250 characters)	Reason(s) Why Assistance Is Needed (max 250 characters)	What State, Organization or Individual Would You suggest Provide the TA (if known) (max 250 characters)
	National Performance Measure Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: 9	Identify best practices in providing and promoting oral health, a plan for implementing training for providers for pediatric oral health care.	There is currently a shortage of pediatric and general dentists to provide oral health care to children.	unknown
	National Performance Measure Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:15	Identification by state and national leaders of low-cost strategies that can be implemented at the state and local level to reduce low and very low birth weight.	Adaptation of best practice models used by other states would be the most effective use of resources in reducing very low birth weights.	unknown
	National Performance Measure Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:18	Identification by state and national leaders of low-cost strategies that can be implemented at the state and local level to promote early entry into prenatal care.	Adaptation of best practice models used by other states would be the most effective use of resources in promoting early entry into prenatal care.	unknown
	National Performance Measure Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:7	Use of the website as a technical assistance tool for the Immunizations Program; evaluation of customer service provision; and evaluation of publications used as technical assistance tools by the Immunization Program.	Improvements in these infrastructure- building activities could positively impact immunization rates in Texas.	unknown
	National Performance Measure Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:1	Determine the feasibility of establishing regionally-based providers and education on an expanded system of inheritable disorders.	Early detection of these disorders may prevent the long-term debilitating consequences.	unknown
	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
10.	If you selected State or National			

Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		
If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		
If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEET STATE: TX

SP # 1

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Change in institutionalized CSHCN, as percent of previous year.

STATUS: Activ

GOAL Promote the health of children with special health care needs and prevent secondary conditions.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of CSHCH institutionalized in current year

Denominator:

Number of CSHCN institutionalized in previous year

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

State CSHCN program.

SIGNIFICANCE

Many children with activity limitations or cognitive impairments need ongoing and long-term assistance, yet some do not require institutional care. In 1998, there were 1,288 Medicaid-eligible CSHCN who were institutionalized in state schools, ICF/MR, and nursing homes. Every CSH belongs in a family with a consistent caregiver who takes responsibility for the child's growth, development, and overall well-being. CSHCN still reside in nursing facilities and other congregate care settings. Families with CSHCN need family support services and care options so that CSHCN can remain in families within

the community.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of children and adolescents (aged 13-19) who choose healthy behaviors

STATUS:

GOAL

Improve the health and well-being of children, adolescents, and families.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of adolescents who choose healthy behaviors. (numerator: Weighted index of 9 measures: alcohol use, tobacco use, teen pregnancy, STD, motor vehicle deaths, homicide, suicide, school dropout, and Medicaid checkups, where

negative measures are inverted to positive.)

Denominator:

Total state population aged 13-19 years.

Units: 100 Text: percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

SIGNIFICANCE

State Drug Use survey, Bureau of Vital Statistics, Texas Educational Agency, National Heritage Insurance Company.

Social changes of the last 40 years have led to dramatic shift in family, neighborhood and community patterns of interaction. Children are left to their own devices while parents are at the workplace, neighbors are absent, and elder citizens are in residential facilities. Recent evidence shows that, more than any other factor, health decisions among adolescents are influenced by the degree of connectedness they feel to family, school, and community. If we are to influence positively the health decisions that our children and youth make, we have to go beyond providing information and teaching skills. We have to ensure that our youth experience a strong sense of connection and caring by the adults directly involved in their lives. We must realign our public health practice to consider and support the quality of life of our children and youth. In other words, the Texas Title V future initiative is to create a critical mass of asset-building energy around all children and adolescents, to the point that asset-building is a way of life in families, neighborhoods, and schools.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of infants and children (aged 0-12) who thrive

STATUS: Active

GOAL Improve the health and well-being of children, adolescents, and families.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of children and adolescents who thrive. (Numerator: weighted index of 6 measures: child-abuse, unintentional injuries, death rate, Medicaid checkups, immunizations, and elevated blood lead levels, where negative measures are

inverted to positive.)

Denominator:

Total state population aged 0-12 years.

Units: 100 Text: percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

State Child Protective Services, Bureau of Vital Statistics, National Heritage Insurance Company, Center for Disease control

for state immunization estimates, State Lead Statistics Program.

SIGNIFICANCE

Social changes of the last 40 years have led to a dramatic shift in family, neighborhood and community patterns of interaction. Children are left to their own devices while parents are at the workplace, neighbors are absent, and elder citizens are in residential facilities. Recent evidence shows that, more than any other factor, health decisions among adolescents are influenced by the degree of connectedness they feel to family, school, and community. If we are to influence positively the health decisions that our children and youth make, we have to go beyond providing information and teaching skills. We have to ensure that our youth experience a strong sense of connection and caring by the adults directly involved in their lives. We must realign our public health practice to consider and support the quality of life of our children and youth. In other words, the Texas Title V future initiative is to create a critical mass of asset-building energy around all children and adolescents, to the point that asset-building is a way of life in families, neighborhoods, and schools.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Ratio of Black low birth weight (LBW) rate to White LBW rate

STATUS: Active

GOAL Reduce health disparities in birth outcomes.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of African-American live births with a birth weight less than 2500 grams in the calender year.

Denominator:

Number of White live births with a birth weight less than 2500 grams in the calender year.

Units: 1 Text: Ratio

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Birth certificates are the source for LBW.

SIGNIFICANCE

LBW is the risk factor most closely associated with neonatal death; thus, improvements in infant birth weight can contribute substantially to reductions in the infant mortality rate. Of all infants born at low birth weight, the smallest (those weighing less than 1,500 grams) are at highest risk of dying in their first year. Texas' births will exceed 400,000 within three years. Texas must be able to assure adequate resources and planning for the growing number of births throughout the state. Low birth weight and other perinatal health indicators are stabilizing or decreasing statewide in Texas, however, LBW is not decreasing among African American families. A failure to address the large number of LBW births will mean Texas will continue to need to maintain resources to support a growing number of high-risk low birth weight births throughout the state. Birth data in Texas clearly shows that specific populations are most affected by LBW, primarily African American families. Relative to the white population, LBW is up to twice as common for African American families. Smoking accounts for 20 to 30 percent of all LBW births in the United States. The effect of smoking on LBW rates appears to be attributable to intrauterine growth retardation rather than to preterm delivery. VLBW is primarily associated with preterm birth, which may be associated with the use of illicit drugs during pregnancy.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The Prevalence of Childhood Obesity

STATUS: Active

GOAL To assure conditions in communities and schools which promote health eating and physical activity patterns in children and

adolescents.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of obese children age 0-5 in Texas (>95 percentile BMI)

Denominator:

Number of children age 0-5 in Texas

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

State WIC data.

SIGNIFICANCE

Childhood obesity is associated with adverse medical and psycho-social consequences. Overweight acquired during childhood or adolescence may persist into adulthood with increased risks of some chronic disease later in life. As a result, the rising prevalence of obesity and chronic disease will place more burdens on the health care system, including increased costs of medical care. Following the published data from the CDC's Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES III), concern has been expressed that the prevalence of obesity in children and adolescents may be increasing in Texas, but definitive data are lacking. Therefore, Title V leadership are committed to establishing a baseline to assess the

extent of obesity in childhood in Texas and, accordinly, develop a

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Incidence of carious lesions among 3rd to 7th grade children.

STATUS: Active

GOAL Establish an oral health data resource center.

DEFINITION

Numerator: Number of 3rd - 7th grade children with carious lesions.

Denominator:

Number of 3rd - 7th grade children.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

Numerator: State oral health status datafiles are source of data for children with cariuos lesions. Denominator: Population numbers are available from the census; school enrollment from Texas Education Agency. **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES**

It is imperative for the Title V Oral Health Program to determine baseline children's dental health status. This baseline will **SIGNIFICANCE** allow dental staff to assess unmet needs that should be addressed, set realistic targets, and develop targeted dental caries

prevention activities in order to reduce the prevalence of dental caries.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of female clients suspected of being victims of relationship abuse.

STATUS: Active

GOAL Increase detection of and appropriate responses to women and adolescents seeking health services who are suspected

victims of relationship abuse.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of female clients suspected of being victims of relationship abuse.

Denominator:

Number of female clinets receiving services from Title V, X, and XX clinics.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

SIGNIFICANCE

Numerator: Dept. of Public Safety Records. Denominator: Title V, X, and XX contractor reports.

Relationship violence often results in emergency room visits, physician office visits, hospitalization, lost days of work, mental or emotional problems, or death. Studies show that more than half of the women murdered in the United States are killed by their male partners. It is estimated that, nationally, domestic violence leads to \$44 million in total annual medical costs and 175,000 lost days of work. Texas contributes to these alarming statistics. Results from the five-year Title X Service Enhancement Project (see needs assessment section...) indicate that Texas women receiving services from four TDH family planning contractors said they had experienced some form of sexual assault (27.3%) and physical abuse (38%). Consequently, TDH Women's Health Division staff have conducted a series of activities for Title X family planning providers to prevent abuse and identify victims for referral. In FY 99, similar activities are planned to be expanded to Title V and other interested health care providers.

SO# 7

OUTCOME MEASURE: The ratio of the black perinatal mortality rate to the white perinatal mortality rate.

STATUS: Active

GOAL To reduce the disparity (ratio) between the Black and White perinatal mortality.

DEFINITION

Numerator: The Black perinatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.

Denominator:

The White perinatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.

Units: 1 Text: Ratio

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Vital records collected by the State.

SIGNIFICANCE

Perinatal mortality is a reflection of the health of the pregnant woman and newborn and reflects the pregnancy environment and early newborn care. Overall, there were 3,445 or 9.8 per 1,000 live births perinatal deaths in 1999. These deaths revealed a significant racial disparity. The disparity rate for Black perinatal mortality rate (11 per 1,000 live births) is almost twice the White rate of 6 per 1,000 live births. Black women are twice as likely as White women to experience low birth weight, neonatal and fetal deaths.

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: State Performance Measure 1
 Field Name: SPM1
 Row Name:
 Column Name:
 Year: 2006
 Field Note:
 CSHCN living in congregate care settings as a percent of base year (2003)

FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATORS FORMS FOR HSCI 01 THROUGH 04, 07 & 08 - MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: TX

HEALTH SYSTEMS C	APACITY	MEASURE # 0	11
------------------	---------	-------------	----

The rate of children hospitalized for asthma (ICD-9 Codes: 493.0 -493.9) per 10,000 children less than five years of age.

	Annual Indicator Data							
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004			
Annual Indicator	39.0	36.9	38.8	35.7	37.3			
Numerator	6,343	6,098	6,520	6,115	7,868			
Denominator	1,624,628	1,650,764	1,680,867	1,712,778	2,108,777			
Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional			

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 02

Is the Data P

The percent Medicaid enrollees whose age is less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one initial periodic screen.

	Annual Indicator Data						
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004		
Annual Indicator	75.0	69.2	70.6	93.5	98.7		
Numerator	145,982	140,257	158,431	223,304	245,083		
Denominator	194,540	202,576	224,388	238,851	248,232		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final		

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 03

The percent State Childrens Health Insurance Program (SCHIP) enrollees whose age is less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one periodic screen.

	Annual Indicator Data						
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004		
Annual Indicator	2.0	3.0	2.0	0.7	0.4		
Numerator	4,614	15,035	14,549	5,407	2,823		
Denominator	230,682	501,167	727,452	727,434	651,054		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional		

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 04

The percent of women (15 through 44) with a live birth during the reporting year whose observed to expected prenatal visits are greater than or equal to 80 percent on the Kotelchuck Index.

	Annual Indicator Data					
2	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	
Annual Indicator	65.4	66.9	69.7	70.4	73.2	
Numerator	236,817	243,177	258,393	264,550	281,949	
Denominator	361,930	363,673	370,983	376,014	385,311	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional	

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 07 The percent of EPSDT eligible children aged 6 through 9 years who have received any dental services during the year. Annual Indicator Data 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 Annual Indicator 53.0 51.2 51.4 55.2 56.0

2	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	53.0	51.2	51.4	55.2	56.0
Numerator	175,943	172,102	194,057	250,718	287,357
Denominator	332,021	335,966	377,746	454,423	512,778
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 08

The percent of State SSI beneficiaries less than 16 years old receiving rehabilitative services from the State Children with Special Health Care Needs (CSHCN) Program.

			Annual Indicator Da	ata_	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	52.2	50.8	68.5	NaN	54.6
Numerator	24,831	25,546	31,289	0	35,758
Denominator	47,550	50,322	45,689	0	65,476
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final

Sources:

DSHS CSHCN Outreach and Regional Case management.

Health and Ready to Work Website: www.hrtw.org/youth

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #01

Field Name: HSC01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note: Sources:

Hospitalizations - Texas Hospital Inpatient Discharge Public Use Data File. Texas Health Care Information Council (THCIC), Austin, TX, 2003.

The 2000-2003 data is based on hospitalizations, not individuals. These numbers may be an underestimation of the true rate of hospitalizations for asthma because Texasa hospitals located in counties with populations less than 35,000 are exempt from reporting to the THCIC.

Population - Texas State Data Center, Texas Population Estimates and Projections, TAMU, May 2003.

Note: 2003 is a trend based on 4 years of data from 2 sources: Medicaid & Hospital Discharge data.

2. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #01

Field Name: HSC01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Note:

2004 is a trend based on 4 years of data and 2 sources- Medicaid and Hospital Discharge Data.

The 2000-2004 data are based on hospitalizations, not people in the numerator. These numbers may be an under estimation of the true rate of hospitalizations for asthma because some Texas hospitals (located in a county with a population less than 35,000) are exempt from the reporting to the Texas Health Care Information Council (THCIC).

Data Sources:

DSHS, THCIC Public Use Data Files, 2000-2003.

Texas State Data Center, Texas Population Estimates and Projections, UTSA, 09/04.

3. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #02

Field Name: HSC02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The percent of Medicaid enrollees whose age is less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one initial periodic screen.

Reporting for 2003 combines FFS and PCCM claims data from TMHP and encounter data from ICHP. Reporting only TMHP data from the HISR303A under reports encounter data.

Source: CMS 416-FFY 2003

4. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #02

Field Name: HSC02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note: Notes:

The percent of Medicaid enrollees whose age is less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one initial periodic screen.

Source:

Texas CMS-416 FFY 2003 - 2004

5. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #03

Field Name: HSC03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

2000 was derived from the 2000 CHIP Enrollments and Eligibility by County Report: 3% of the total State enrollment (230,682*.03) for infants <1 year.

Reference for 2001 & 2002: Children's Health Insurance Program in Texas: The New Enrollee Survey Report 2003. March 2003

Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #03

Field Name: HSC03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

2002 - Texas Health & Human Services, Children's Health Insurance Program in Texas.: The New Enrollee Survey Report 2003, March 2003. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, SCHIP Preliminary Annual Enrollment Report for Fiscal year 2002. http://www.cms.hhs.gov/schip/enrollment

2003 - Edli Colberg, Texas Health & Human Services Commission.

7. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #03

Field Name: HSC03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note: Sources:

2002 - Texas Health & Human Services, Children's Health Insurance Program in Texas.: The New Enrollee Survey Report 2003, March 2003.

Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, SCHIP Preliminary Annual Enrollment Report for Fiscal year 2002. http://www.cms.hhs.gov/schip/enrollment

2003 - 2004 Update: Texas Health & Human Services Commission.

8. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #04

Field Name: HSC04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note: THD, BVS, 2002

9. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #04

Field Name: HSC04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Source:

DSHS, Vital Statistics Unit Natality files, 2003.

10. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #07

Field Name: HSC07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003

Field Note:

SOurce: CMS-416 FFY 2003

Federal fiscal year is used because it is the only data that combines TMHP data (accurate for fee for service and PCCM claims) with encounter data from ICHP. Reproting only TMHP data from the HISR303A under reports the encounter data.

11. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #07

Field Name: HSC07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note: Source:

Texas CMS-416 FFY 2004.

12. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #08

Field Name: HSC08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note: Source:

TDH, Bureau of Children's Health, Output Case Managemnt Report, SSI dual eligibles estimated as 40% of joint SSI/CSHCN caseload (2001) and 10% of contractor caseload; SSA, Persons Receiving Federally Administered Payments by State and Age.

13. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #08

Field Name: HSC08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

To accurately report this measure, it must be noted that all SSI beneficiaries in Texas receive rehabilitative services through Medicaid. Therefore, the State Children With Special Health Care Needs (CSHCN) Program does not serve this purpose for SSI beneficiaries in Texas. This measure is therefore, not applicable.

14. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #08

Field Name: HSC08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

All SSI recipients in Texas obtain health care benefits coverage through Medicaid. Considering the broader spectrum of comprehensive rehabilitation and habilitation services, the form reflects SSI recipients who are provided outreach and case management services through CSHCN Title V efforts.

FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #05 (MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON) STATE: TX

INDICATOR #05 Comparison of health system capacity				POPULATION	
indicators for Medicaid, non-Medicaid, and all MCH populations in the State	YEAR	DATA SOURCE	MEDICAID	NON-MEDICAID	ALL
a) Percent of low birth weight (< 2,500 grams)	2003	Payment source from birth certificate	8.1	7.5	7.9
b) Infant deaths per 1,000 live births	2003	Payment source from birth certificate	7.7	6	6.6
c) Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginning in the first trimester	2003	Payment source from birth certificate	75.2	82.2	79.7
d) Percent of pregnant women with adequate prenatal care(observed to expected prenatal visits is greater than or equal to 80% [Kotelchuck Index])	2003	Payment source from birth certificate	70.1	70.5	70.4

FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL) STATE: TX

INDICATOR #06 The percent of poverty level for eligibility in the State's Medicaid programs for infants (0 to 1), children, Medicaid and pregnant women.	YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL MEDICAID (Valid range: 100-300 percent)		
a) Infants (0 to 1)	2004	185_		
b) Medicaid Children (Age range 1 to 5) (Age range 6 to 18) (Age range 19 to 20)	2004	133 100 100		
c) Pregnant Women	2004	<u>185</u>		

FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL) STATE: TX

INDICATOR #06 The percent of poverty level for eligibility in the State's SCHIP programs for infants (0 to 1), children, SCHIP and pregnant women.	YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL SCHIP
a) Infants (0 to 1)	2004	
b) Medicaid Children (Age range 1 to 19) (Age range to) (Age range to)	2004	200
c) Pregnant Women		

Sources:

http://www.hhsc.state.tx.us/research/dssi/PGM2003POV.html

Percent of Poverty Income Levels For 2004 Associatd with Selected Health and Human Services Porgrams (Based on US Poverty Income Guidelines - Federal Register, Vol 69, No. 30, February 13, 2004, pp. 7336-7338).

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Indicator 06 - Medicaid

Field Name: Med_Children Row Name: Medicaid Children

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Percent of poverty for Mediciad age range 19-20 should be 17%. Data system would not allow less than 100% to be entered.

Section Number: Indicator 06 - SCHIP

Field Name: SCHIP_Infant Row Name: Infants Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Section Number: Indicator 06 - SCHIP Field Name: SCHIP_Women

Row Name: Pregnant Women

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Pregnant women under 19 years of age = 185%FPL

Pregnant women & children in the Women Infants & Children Program=185%FPL

FORM 19 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: TX

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09A (General MCH Data Capacity)
(The Ability of the State to Assure MCH Program Access to Policy and Program Relevant Informatioin)

DATABASES OR SURVEYS	Does your MCH program have the ability to obtain data for program planning or policy purposes in a timely manner? (Select 1 - 3) *	Does your MCH program have Direct access to the electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)			
ANNUAL DATA LINKAGES Annual linkage of infant birth and infant death certificates	3	Yes			
Annual linkage of birth certificates and Medicaid Eligibility or Paid Claims Files	3	Yes			
Annual linkage of birth certificates and WIC eligibility files	3	Yes			
Annual linkage of birth certificates and newborn screening files	2	Yes			
REGISTRIES AND SURVEYS Hospital discharge survey for at least 90% of in-State discharges	2	No			
Annual birth defects surveillance system	3	Yes			
Survey of recent mothers at least every two years (like PRAMS)	3	Yes			

- 1 = No, the MCH agency does not have this ability.
 2 = Yes, the MCH agency sometimes has this ability, but not on a consistent basis.
 3 = Yes, the MCH agency always has this ability.

FORM 19 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: TX

DATA SOURCES	Does your state participate in the YRBS survey? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to the state YRBS database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	2	Yes
Other: Texas School Survey	3	No

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09C (Data Capacity) Overweight/Obesity (The Ability of the State to Determine the Percent of Children Who are Obese or Overweight)

Data Source	Does your state participate in this survey/data source? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to this electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)			
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	2	Yes			
Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance System (PedNSS)	3	Yes			
WIC Program Data	3	Yes			
Other:					

'Where:

- 1 = No
- 2 = Yes, the State participates but the sample size is <u>not</u> large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group.
- 3 = Yes, the State participates and the sample size is large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group.

Notes:

1. HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09B was formerly reported as Developmental Health Status Indicator #05.

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS #01-#05 MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: TX

HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 01A									
he percent of live births weighing less than 2,500 grams.									
					Annual Indicator Da	ata			
	2000		2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Indicator		7.4		7.6	7.7		7.9		8.0
Numerator		26,751		27,585	28,649		29,727		30,295
Denominator	;	363,325		365,092	372,369		377,374		378,539
Is the Data Provisional or Final?						Final		Provisio	nal
IEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 01B									
he percent of live singleton births weighing less than 2,500 grams.									
					Annual Indicator Da				
	2000	5.0	2001	0.4	2002	2003	0.0	2004	0.0
Annual Indicator				6.1	6.2		6.3		6.3
Numerator				21,590	22,255		23,187		23,481
Denominator		353,501		354,967	361,767		366,272		375,195
Is the Data Provisional or Final?						Final		Provisio	nai
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A									
The percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams.					Annual Indicator Da	nt n			
	2000		2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Indicator		1.3		1.3	1.3		1.4		1.4
Numerator		4,605		4,808	4,976		5,133		
Numerator Denominator							5,133 377.374		5,159
Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	. ;	4,605 363,325		4,808 365,092	4,976 372,369	Final	5,133 377,374	Provisio	5,159 378,539
Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	. ;					Final		Provisio	5,159 378,539
Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02B	. ;					Final		Provisio	5,159 378,539
Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02B	. ;							Provisio	5,159 378,539
Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02B	. ;		2001		372,369			Provisio	5,159 378,539
Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02B	2000	363,325			372,369 Annual Indicator Da	ata			5,159 378,539
Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02B The percent of live singleton births weighing less than 1,500 grams.	2000	363,325		365,092	Annual Indicator Da	ata	377,374		5,159 378,539 nal

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Provisional

Final

HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03A					
he death rate per 100,000 due to unintentional injuries among childr	en aged 14 years ar	nd younger.			
			Annual Indicator Da		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
	11.4	10.9	10.3	10.2	9.5
	560		515	519	485
		4,979,782	4,999,699	5,095,345	5,082,759
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03B					
he death rate per 100,000 for unintentional injuries among children a	aged 14 years and y	ounger due to motor	vehicle crashes.		
		0004	Annual Indicator Da		0004
Annual Indicator	2000	2001 5.4	2002 5.5	2003 5.3	2004 5.5
	5.5			272	282
	268				
	4,910,004	4,979,782	4,999,699	5,095,345	
Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	·	4,979,782	4,999,699	5,095,345 Final	5,082,759 Provisional
	·	4,979,782	4,999,699		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					
Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C	ehicle crashes amor	ng youth aged 15 thro	ough 24 years. Annual Indicator Da	Final	Provisional
Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C The death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor virial death.	ehicle crashes amor	ng youth aged 15 thro	ough 24 years. Annual Indicator Da	Final ata 2003	Provisional
Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C The death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor vi	ehicle crashes amor 2000 31.8	ng youth aged 15 thro 2001 31.1	ough 24 years. Annual Indicator Da 2002 33.6	Final ata 2003 30.9	Provisional 2004 26.9
Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C The death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor vice. Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 31.8 1,010	2001 31.1 1,014	ough 24 years. Annual Indicator Da 2002 33.6 1,099	Final ata 2003 30.9 1,052	Provisional 2004 26.9
Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C The death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor vice. Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 31.8 1,010 3,175,636	2001 31.1 1,014	ough 24 years. Annual Indicator Da 2002 33.6	Final ata 2003 30.9	

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Final

Provisional

he rate per 100,000 of nonfatal injuries due to motor vehicle crashes	among children age	ed 14 years and your	iger.		
			Annual Indicator Da		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	27.7	37.2	35.4	74.2	64.6
Numerator	1,359	1,851	1,769	3,783	3,286
Denominator	4,910,004	4,979,782	4,999,699	5,095,345	5,082,759
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 04C					
ne rate per 100,000 of nonfatal injuries due to motor vehicle crashes	among youth aged	15 through 24 years.		-4-	
	2000	2001	Annual Indicator Da	ata 2003	2004
Annual Indicator		143.7	113.5	224.4	226.6
Numerator		4,684	3,714	7,635	7,650
		3,259,422	3,272,868	3,401,692	3,375,489
Is the Data Provisional or Final?		5,255,122	5,=:=,555		
is the Data i Tovisional of Fillal?				Final	Provisional
				Final	Provisional
IEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A				Final	Provisional
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A			Annual Indicator Da		Provisional
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A		2001	Annual Indicator Da		Provisional 2004
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A	case of chlamydia.	2001		ata	2004
IEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A he rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of	case of chlamydia.		2002	ata 2003	
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A he rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of	2000 29.8 23,490	29.0	2002	ata 2003 27.2	2004 26.9 21,977
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 29.8 23,490 788,770	29.0 23,250	2002 28.5 22,835	2003 27.2 21,948	2004 26.9
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 29.8 23,490 788,770	29.0 23,250	2002 28.5 22,835	2003 27.2 21,948 807,755	2004 26.9 21,977 817,309
LEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,00	2000 29.8 23,490 788,770	29.0 23,250	2002 28.5 22,835	2003 27.2 21,948 807,755	2004 26.9 21,977 817,309
IEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A he rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 29.8 23,490 788,770	29.0 23,250	2002 28.5 22,835	2003 27.2 21,948 807,755 Final	2004 26.9 21,977 817,309
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A he rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05B he rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of	2000 29.8 23,490 788,770 case of chlamydia.	29.0 23,250 802,145	2002 28.5 22,835 801,380 Annual Indicator Day 2002	2003 27.2 21,948 807,755 Final	2004 26.9 21,977 817,309
Annual Indicator Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? BEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05B he rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of	2000 29.8 23,490 788,770 case of chlamydia.	29.0 23,250 802,145	28.5 22,835 801,380	2003 27.2 21,948 807,755 Final	2004 26.9 21,977 817,309 Final
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05B The rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of	2000 29.8 23,490 788,770 case of chlamydia.	29.0 23,250 802,145 2001 8.0	2002 28.5 22,835 801,380 Annual Indicator Day 2002	2003 27.2 21,948 807,755 Final	2004 21,977 817,309 Final

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Final

Final

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 20

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

HSI #06A - Demographics (Total Population) Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by sub-populations of age group and race. (Demographics) For both parts A and B: Reporting Year: 2004 Is this data from a State Projection? Yes Is this data final or provisional? Provisional

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	354,759	303,495	38,913					12,351
Children 1 through 4	1,387,036	1,181,554	158,358					47,124
Children 5 through 9	1,629,377	1,368,045	208,147					53,185
Children 10 through 14	1,711,587	1,427,365	230,600					53,622
Children 15 through 19	1,677,149	1,403,369	220,582					53,198
Children 20 through 24	1,698,340	1,429,206	212,113					57,021
Children 0 through 24	8,458,248	7,113,034	1,068,713	0	0	0	0	276,501

HSI #06B - Demographics (Total Population) Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by sub-populations of age group and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Infants 0 to 1	185,922	168,837	
Children 1 through 4	747,870	639,166	
Children 5 through 9	920,901	708,476	
Children 10 through 14	1,005,101	706,486	
Children 15 through 19	1,026,814	650,335	
Children 20 through 24	1,009,118	689,222	
Children 0 through 24	4,895,726	3,562,522	0

HSI #07A - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and race. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Women < 15	904	690	209	1	1	0		3
Women 15 through 17	16,977	14,474	2,352	30	81	3		37
Women 18 through 19	30,830	26,089	4,420	63	180	12		66
Women 20 through 34	268,636	229,274	28,209	603	9,582	134		834
Women 35 or older	38,428	32,596	3,269	78	2,310	14		161
Women of all ages	355,775	303,123	38,459	775	12,154	163	0	1,101

HSI #07B - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Women < 15	336	568	
Women 15 through 17	5,671	11,306	
Women 18 through 19	12,829	18,001	
Women 20 through 34	135,398	133,238	
Women 35 or older	22,565	15,863	
Women of all ages	176,799	178,976	0

STATE: TX

HSI #08A - Demographics (Total deaths) Deaths of Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by age subgroup and race. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	2,314	1,750	503	5	42	1		13
Children 1 through 4	459	358	93	0	8	0		0
Children 5 through 9	266	198	57	1	10	0		0
Children 10 through 14	341	268	63	0	10	0		0
Children 15 through 19	1,076	924	139	1	12	0		0
Children 20 through 24	1,510	1,214	263	5	22	2		4
Children 0 through 24	5,966	4,712	1,118	12	104	3	0	17

HSI #08B - Demographics (Total deaths) Deaths of Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by age subgroup and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Infants 0 to 1	1,293	1,021	
Children 1 through 4	262	197	
Children 5 through 9	174	92	
Children 10 through 14	206	135	
Children 15 through 19	669	407	
Children 20 through 24	942	568	
Children 0 through 24	3,546	2,420	0

HSI #09A - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data) Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by race. (Demographics)

Is this data final or provisional? Provisional

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19	6,759,908	5,683,828.0	856,600.0					219,480.0	2004
Percent in household headed by single parent	27.0								2004
Percent in TANF (Grant) families	4.4								2004
Number enrolled in Medicaid	2,529,870	2,014,067.0	450,029.0	9,404.0	30,435.0			25,935.0	2004
Number enrolled in SCHIP	675,345	350,698.0	40,872.0	1,467.0	13,019.0			269,289.0	2004
Number living in foster home care	15,532	10,704.0	4,707.0	41.0	80.0				2004
Number enrolled in food stamp program	308,650	264,903.0	42,376.0	249.0	1,122.0				2004
Number enrolled in WIC	1,096,826	937,233.0	143,086.0	1,081.0	15,419.0			7.0	2004
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests	2.8	2.4	0.4	0	0				2004
Percentage of high school drop- outs (grade 9 through 12)	1.3	2.5	1.7	1.2	0.5				2004

HSI #09B - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data) Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19	3,886,608.0	2,873,300.0		2004
Percent in household headed by single parent			27.0	2004
Percent in TANF (Grant) families			4.4	2004
Number enrolled in Medicaid	1,028,585.0	1,475,350.0	25,935.0	2004
Number enrolled in SCHIP	170,892.0	235,164.0	269,289.0	2004
Number living in foster home care	10,206.0	5,503.0		2004
Number enrolled in food stamp program	88,399.0	220,251.0		2004
Number enrolled in WIC	359,031.0	737,795.0		2004
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests	2.0	0.8		2004
Percentage of high school drop-outs (grade 9 through 12)	4.0	1.9		2004

HSI #10 - Demographics (Geographic Living Area) Geographic living area for all resident children aged 0 through 19 years old. (Demographics)

TOTAL
5,897,217
5,577,353
1,182,555
65,055
6,824,963

Note:

The Total will be determined by adding reported numbers for urban, rural and frontier areas.

FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: TX

HSI #11 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics)

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL
Total Population	22,158,126.0
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	<u>6.7</u>
100% of poverty	<u>15.4</u>
200% of poverty	<u>36.0</u>

FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: TX

HSI #12 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population aged 0 through 19 at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics)
Reporting Year: 2004 Is this data from a State Projection? Yes Is this data final or provisional? Provisional

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL
Children 0 through 19 years old	6,759,908.0
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	9.2
100% of poverty	
200% of poverty	46.0

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 21

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Indicator 09A

Field Name: HSIRace_SingleParentPercent

Row Name: Percent in household headed by single parent

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source: Date as of 2001 http:/www.aecf.org/cgi-bin/kc

Section Number: Indicator 09A Field Name: HSIRace_TANFPercent Row Name: Percent in TANF (Grant) families

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note: Source: DHS2002

Section Number: Indicator 09A
Field Name: HSIRace_DropOutPercent
Row Name: Percentage of high school drop-outs (grade 9 through 12)

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note: Note:

Data as of 2002-2003

White includes Hispanic.

Source: http://www.tea.state.tx.us/research/pdf/dropcomp_2002-03.pdf.

Section Number: Indicator 09A Field Name: HSIRace_FosterCare

Row Name: Number living in foster home care

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note: Source: Data as of 2003

http://www.dfps.state.tx.us/Documents

NEW STATE PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEET STATE: TX

SP # 1

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Change in percentage of CSHCN living in congregate care settings as percent of base year 2003

GOAL

All CSHCN live in families, in communities, consistent with permanceny planning principles.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of CSHCN living in congregate care settings at the end of the current year

Denominator:

Number of CSHCN living in congregate care in base year (2003)

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

Objective 6-7

Reduce the number of people with disabilities in congregate care facilities, consistent with permanency planning

principles. Target 6-7b: Zero persons aged 21 years and under in congregate care facilities.

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Data source: State Health and Human Services Commission - Office of Program Coordination for Children and Youth. Data issues: Starting in FY04, as indicated above and on Form 11, the denominator for this performance measure was changed to reflect data from a base year of 2003 (instead of the data from the previous year). Also, to improve data accuracy, the count of children in congregate care settings for the base year and future years was expanded to include children in Home and Community Services group homes and Department of Family and Protective Services institutions in

addition to those in state schools, Intermediate Care Facilities (MR), and in nursing homes.

SIGNIFICANCE

Many children with activity limitations, cognitive impairments, or behavioral conditions, need ongoing and long-term assistance that may be (or may have been) available only in congregate care settings. On 8/31/2004, there were children

assistance that may be (or may have been) available only in congregate care settings. On 8/31/2004, there were children who were institutionalized in state schools, Intermediate Care Facilities (MR), Home and Community Services group homes, Department of Family and Protective Services institutions, and nursing homes. Every CSHCN belongs in a family with a consistent caregiver who takes responsibility for the child's growth, development, and overall well-being. CSHCN still reside in nursing homes and other congregate care settings. Families with CSHCN need family support services and

care options so that CSHCN can remain in families within the community.

OBJECTIVE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The rate of obesity among women ages 18 and over

GOAL Decrease the rate of obese women in Texas ages 18 and over.

DEFINITION

ŭ

Numerator:

Numerator: Obese women 18 years and older (BRFSS respondents who report a Body Mass Index (BMI) of 30.0 or more.

Denominator:

Denominator: Women 18 years and older.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Behavior Risk Factor Surveillance Survey (BRFSS)

SIGNIFICANCE

Some data show a link between obesity during pregnancy and the incidence of neural tube defects, some of which can be fatal or can severely compromise the child. There is also some data that indicate that when the mother is obese, there is higher risk of prematurity, delivery complications and cesarean delivery, all of which can potentially lead to increased perinatal, infant, neonatal, postneonatal and child mortality. Furthermore, since the incidence of obesity is high among African American women, it can play a role in the infant death disparity. Obesity can also impact women's mobility and overall health status. Decreasing the rate of obesity in women in Texas will have a positive impact on maternal, perinatal

and child health outcomes.

OBJECTIVE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The percentage of day care facilities which apply health promotion and risk reduction strategies

GOAL To improve the health status of all children.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Numerator: Number of state licensed daycare facilities that received a follow up visit from a Healthy Childcare Texas

certified child care consultant.

Denominator:

Denominator: Number of State licensed daycare facilities that were investigated by the Texas Department of Family and

Protective Services (DFPS) and found to have a valid complaint

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

SIGNIFICANCE

Numerator: DSHS Child Care Health Consultants Data Denominator: DFPS Data

In 2004, Texas had 22,888 licensed child-care facilities with a total capacity of 903,094 infants and children. Because so many young children spend the majority of their time in these facilities, this infrastructure needs to be strengthened and utilized to its potential. Evidence has shown that linking childcare health and/or medical consultants to the early care and education community, information and resources on child health and safety can be provided as well as parent education, family support, social emotional development and medical home information. The Texas Title V intent is to develop a system where families and communities will have the support and information to make healthy decisions regarding their

children and Texas's future generations.

OBJECTIVE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The rate of women of childbearing age who smoke.

GOAL Decrease the rate of women of childbearing age who smoke.

DEFINITION

Numerator

Numerator: Current female smokers age 18-44 years (BRFSS respondent who smokes everyday or some days and has

smoked more than 100 cigarettes in their lifetime.

Denominator:

Denominator: Female age 18-44 years.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Behavior Risk Factor Surveillance Survey (BRFSS)

SIGNIFICANCE

Data link fetal exposure to tobacco to prematurity, low birth weight, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome and asthma and other respiratory problems, all of which can increase perinatal, infant, neonatal, postneonatal and child mortality. Reducing the rate of women of childbearing age that smoke in Texas will have a positive impact on perinatal and child health outcomes.

OBJECTIVE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The prevalence of obesity among children.

GOAL To assure that families and communities promote healthy eating and increased physical activity patterns in children.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Numerator: Number of obese (>95 percentile BMI) children age 0-5 in Texas

Denominator:

Denominator: Number of children age 0-5 in Texas

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

State WIC Data

SIGNIFICANCE

During the first 2 years of life, infants and toddlers consume an increasingly complex diet, and research has shown that family food knowledge, attitudes and traditions influence what foods are offered to children. Title V intends to gain information about food consumption patterns from WIC studies which will in turn help the WIC program to target evidence-based education materials based on parent knowledge, attitudes and traditions as well as link behavioral objectives to WIC risk conditions. Teaching parents of very young children healthy feeding and eating habits will change the feeding and eating cycles that studies suggest will continue through adulthood and lead to the chronic diseases associated with

overweight and obesity.

OBJECTIVE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The percent of children provided preventive dental services.

GOAL Increase access to dental service among children 1-20 years of age.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Numerator: Number children age 1-20 who received preventive dental treatments (includes fluoride, sealants, space

maintainers) under the Texas Health Steps (THSteps) Program

Denominator:

Denominator: Number children age 1-20 eligible for dental services under the Texas Health Steps (THSteps) Program

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

State Medicaid Data

SIGNIFICANCE

To address the needs relating to dental health, the Oral Program implementation of a statewide oral health coalition and the expansion services offers a venue to assess, plan, implement measures that will ultimately impact of dental health prevention activities.

OBJECTIVE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE:

Percent of female clients suspected of being victims of relationship abuse.

GOAL

Increase detection of and appropriate responses to women and adolescents seeking health services who are suspected

victims of relationship abuse.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of female clients suspected of being victims of relationship abuse.

Denominator:

Number of female clinets receiving services from Title V, X, and XX clinics.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Numerator: Dept. of Public Safety Records. Denominator: Title V, X, and XX contractor reports.

SIGNIFICANCE

Relationship violence often results in emergency room visits, physician office visits, hospitalization, lost days of work, mental or emotional problems or death. In 2003, 153 women were killed by their partners (Texas Department of Public Safety). Current studies show that 47 percent of Texans experience some form of domestic violence in their lifetimes and that 26 percent of Texans experience severe physical abuse from an intimate partner (Saurage, 2003). The first statewide survey of sexual assault ever conducted revealed that 1.9 million Texans have been sexually assaulted during their lifetimes, with females four times more likely than males to experience sexual assault (Busch, Bell, DiNitto, Jeff, 2003). DSHS is committed in helping to prevent interpersonal violence and abuse. Screening patients for abuse should become standard procedure with women and adolescents. Title V will assist with that process by making screening tools available on the internet. Providers have been and will continue to be trained to work closely with family violence and sexual assault prevention service providers in their areas of the state and are encouraged to collaborate on community prevention projects

beyond cross referrals.

OBJECTIVE